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Preamble

Presented Guidelines were prepared by the ESC Task Force on Pulmonary Embolism, as suggested by the nucleus of the Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function and approved by the ESC Board at its meeting on 17 June 1997 upon the recommendation of the Committee for Scientific and Clinical Initiatives. This Task Force consists of 21 Members, including representatives of the European Respiratory Society, the European Association of Radiology, and an advisory body consisting of two Internal Reviewers. The Members were appointed by the Board of the ESC upon suggestions from the Working Group and from the Boards of Scientific Societies, invited to contribute to the development of the guidelines on pulmonary embolism. The Chairman and seven of the Members of the Task Force formed a Core Writing Group (CWG), which included an Editor, responsible for preparation of the final document. The Task Force Members met in September 1998 in Vienna and the Core Writing Group in May 1999 in Warsaw and in January 2000 in Paris. In addition, controversial issues were presented and discussed with the Pulmonary Circulation Group of the European Respiratory Society during an open Workshop organized at the ERS annual Congress in Geneva, in September 1998.

Review of the literature and position papers were prepared by the Members according to their area of expertise. Their contributions were then posted on the Task Force WebBoard and submitted to discussion over the internet. A second phase consisted of preparation and editing of the consecutive versions of the Guidelines by the CWG, as discussed at the two consecutive meetings as well as over the internet. At the request of the Committee for Scientific and Clinical Initiatives, the Task Force Chairman reported to the Congress of the ESC in August 1999, indicating key points of the emerging guidelines.

Finally, the document was distributed for correction and endorsement to all Members and independently reviewed for consistency by Internal Reviewers. Effort was made to include all relevant evidence relating to the

Correspondence: A. Torbicki, Department of Chest Medicine, Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, Warszawa, Poland.

1This document has been reviewed by members of the Committee for Scientific and Clinical Initiatives and by members of the Board of the European Society of Cardiology (see Appendix 1), who approved the document on 14 April 2000. The full text of this document is available on the website of the European Society of Cardiology in the section 'Scientific Information', Guidelines.

2For affiliations of Task Force Members see Appendix 2.
diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary embolism. The Guidelines were developed with the help of a budget assigned to the Task Force by the European Society of Cardiology and without the involvement of any commercial organization. The list of all contributors is given in the Appendix.

Introduction

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a major international health problem with an annual estimated incidence of over 100,000 cases in France, 65,000 cases among hospitalized patients in England and Wales, and at least 60,000 new cases per year in Italy. The diagnosis is often difficult to obtain and is frequently missed. Mortality in untreated PE is approximately 30%, but with adequate (antiocoagulant) treatment, this can be reduced to 2–8%. Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and PE are common causes of illness and death after surgery, injury, childbirth and in a variety of medical conditions[3,21]. Nevertheless, numerous cases go unrecognized and hence untreated, with serious outcomes. Indeed, the prevalence of PE at autopsy (approximately 12–15% in hospitalized patients) has not changed over three decades[3]. As modern medicine improves the longevity of patients with malignancy and cardiac and respiratory disease, PE may become an even more common clinical problem.

In the immediate course, PE may be fatal: the recent ICOPER study[4], which included 2454 consecutive patients with PE observed in 52 hospitals, revealed a cumulative mortality at 3 months as high as 17.5%. Sometimes PE represents the ‘coup de grace’ that kills a patient already fated to die. However, ‘preventable’ deaths range from 27% to 63% of various autopsy series[3]. In the long-term, there is the risk of developing pulmonary hypertension from recurrent embolism or the absence of reperfusion of the pulmonary vasculature[6].

For clinical purposes this Task Force suggests that PE can be classified into two main groups: massive and non-massive. Thus, massive PE consists of shock and/or hypotension (defined as a systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg or a pressure drop of ≥40 mmHg for >15 min if not caused by new-onset arrhythmia, hypovolemia or sepsis). Otherwise non-massive PE can be diagnosed. A subgroup of patients with non-massive PE may be identified by echocardiographic signs of right ventricular hypokinesis. The Task Force proposes that this subgroup be called submassive, because there is growing evidence that the prognosis of this patient group may be different from those with non-massive PE and normal right ventricular function.

Epidemiology and predisposing factors

Estimated rates for DVT and PE in population-based studies had been reported in only a few countries, and available data must be analysed carefully, because different diagnostic codes and criteria can be applied[7]. The annual incidence for DVT and PE in the general population of the Western World may be estimated at 1.0 and 0.5 per 1000 respectively[8]. The number of clinically silent non-fatal cases cannot be determined. The use of death certificates with a diagnosis of PE is extremely inaccurate[9]. Furthermore, discrepancies between clinical diagnosis and autopsy findings are well known.

Unsuspected PE in patients at post-mortem has not diminished, even among individuals who die from acute massive or submassive PE[10-20]. In autopsy studies, the prevalence of unsuspected PE, either fatal or contributing to death, ranges from 3% to 8%[13-14]. A meta-analysis of 12 post-mortem studies carried out from 1971 through 1995 showed that more than 70% of major PEs had been missed by the clinician[2,15]. However, because necropsy is not systematically performed, autopsy studies do little to elucidate the prevalence of venous thromboembolic disease (VTE) or death by PE. In clinical studies, most cases of PE occur between ages 60 and 70, compared to between 70 and 80 years in autopsy series[12-18].

The main primary and secondary risk factors responsible for VTE are summarized in Table 1[19,20]. Various factors may obviously act together, but a recent French multicentre registry[18] revealed that almost one in two cases of PE and DVT occurred in the absence of a classical predisposing factor.

Congenital predisposition to thrombosis is considered to be a rare condition, but the true prevalence is unknown. It should be seriously considered in patients defined as having had a documented unexplained thrombotic episode below the age of 40, recurrent DVT or PE and a positive family history[10]. The most common genetic defects that have been identified are: resistance to activated protein C (which is caused by a point mutation of factor V in 90% of cases)[21,22], factor II 20210A mutation[23], hyperhomocysteinemia[24,25] and deficiencies of antithrombin III, protein C and protein S[26,27].

The incidence rates of DVT and PE increase with age[20], but this trend may be due to an underlying relationship between age and other co-morbidities, which are the actual risk factors for VTE (e.g. cancer, myocardial infarction)[29,30].

Thromboembolic complications have been reported in 30–60% of patients with stroke (paralysed leg), in 5–35% of patients with acute myocardial infarction, and in over 12% of patients with congestive heart failure[10,15,31-33].

As to immobilization, even short-term (one week) immobilization may predispose to VTE. The frequency of DVT in surgical patients is approximately 5% in those undergoing herniorrhaphy, 15%–30% in cases of major abdominal surgery, 50%–75% in cases of operated hip fracture, and from 50% up to 100% in spinal cord injuries[31,34,35]. PE is rare after isolated valve replacement; however, it is not uncommon (3%-9% of cases) after coronary bypass surgery[35,36]. About one fourth of all
Table 1  Risk factors for venous thromboembolism (adapted from references[19,20])

(A) Primary
- Antithrombin deficiency
- Congenital dysfibrinogenemia
- Thrombomodulin
- Hyperhomocysteinemia
- Anticardiolipin antibodies
- Excessive plasminogen activator inhibitor
- Prothrombin 20210A mutation

(B) Secondary
- Trauma/fractures
- Stroke
- Advanced age
- Central venous catheters
- Chronic venous insufficiency
- Smoking
- Pregnancy/puerperium
- Crohn’s disease
- Nephrotic syndrome
- Hyperviscosity (Polycthemia, Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia)
- Platelet abnormalities

Protein C deficiency
Factor V Leiden (APC-R)
Plasminogen deficiency
Dysplasminogenemia
Protein S deficiency
Factor XII deficiency
Surgery
Immobilisation
Malignancy ± chemotherapy
Obesity
Heart failure
Long distance travel
Oral contraceptives
Lupus anticoagulant
Prosthetic surfaces

Postoperative PEs occur after hospital discharge; this rate is even greater in the subgroup of patients undergoing so-called low-risk surgery[1].

The risk of VTE is five times greater in a pregnant woman than in a non-pregnant woman of similar age — 75% of DVT occurring ante-partum, 66% of PE occurring post partum[20]. Oral contraceptives increase the risk of DVT threefold, but the baseline incidence in young women is very low (approximately 0-3/10 000 per year)[39]. Latest results provide reasonably strong evidence that in users of third-generation oral contraceptives (containing either desogestrel or gestodene, as the progestagen component), the risk of VTE is further increased, to 1 to 2/10 000/year[40,41]. This risk may be further increased in the presence of congenital thrombophilia, such as resistance to activated protein C.

Post-menopausal hormone replacement therapy (HRT), is also associated with a threefold increase in the risk of VTE, as demonstrated by large recent prospective studies[42,43]. However, the baseline risk is again low (approximately 15/10 000 women treated by HRT per year), and most experts agree that a history of VTE is not an absolute contraindication to HRT, particularly in women at high risk of coronary artery disease, unless the episode of VTE is recent (less than one year). Finally, smoking is an independent risk factor for pulmonary embolism, as shown recently in the Nurses’ study[44].

An association between VTE and overt cancer is well documented, and recent studies suggest that patients with so-called idiopathic PE develop subsequent malignant neoplasms in approximately 10% of cases[17]. However, searching for a malignancy in patients with PE requires only a careful history and physical examination, and routine tests such as chest X-ray, complete blood count, and basic laboratory. More extensive work-up is uniformly disappointing[45-47].

With regard to the presumed origin of thromboemboli and the relationship between DVT and PE, in clinical and autopsy studies the source of thromboemboli has been detected in 50%-70% of cases, because thrombi within the calf veins are not easily diagnosed by non-invasive methods, and dissection of the veins under the knee is not routinely done post-mortem[2,16]. Furthermore, thrombus detachment and migration may be total, especially in surgical patients[48], so that the point of origin can no longer be identified. Among those in whom the source of thromboembolism can be identified, 70%-90% have one or more thromboses in the area of the inferior vena cava, more frequently at the level of the femoral and iliac veins. Recent post-mortem data[15] show an increasing number of thromboemboli arising from the pelvic veins, namely from peri-prostatic and peri-uterine plexuses.

In approximately 10%-20% of cases, emboli arise from thrombi located in the area of the superior vena cava. Recently, upper extremity venous thrombosis has become more frequent[15,16,49,50] as a result of invasive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures (e.g. indwelling venous catheters, intravenous chemotherapeutic agents). Upper extremity venous thrombosis may be associated with PE in up to 40% of cases[52,53]. The cardiac origin of PE plays only a minor role in the overall incidence of the disease[15,59].

A correlation between thrombosis location and the incidence and severity of PE has been demonstrated by a prospective clinical study[54]. The incidence of PE was 46% if DVT was confined to the calf, increased to 67% with involvement of the thigh, and up to 77% if the pelvic veins were involved. In severe PEs, most emboli arise from thrombi in the proximal veins. Many of these thrombi, however, originate in the calf and progress into the proximal veins before embolization[55].

Eur Heart J, Vol. 21, issue 16, August 2000

To order bulk copies of this article, please contact - Jenni Welch
Tel: +44 (0) 20 7424 4389,  Fax: +44 (0) 20 7424 4433  Email: jenni_welch@harcourt.com
Summary

- The annual incidence of DVT and PE is estimated at 1·0 and 0·5 per 1000 in the Western world, respectively.
- DVT and PE are both part of one entity: venous thromboembolism (VTE).
- Both acquired and inherited risk factors have been identified.

Pathophysiology

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the complex and multifactorial changes of respiratory and cardiovascular functions caused by acute PE. Both the magnitude of embolization and the absence or presence of pre-existing cardiopulmonary disease\(^{[10,56]}\) are responsible for the haemodynamic consequences of acute PE, in terms of pulmonary artery and systemic pressure, right atrial pressure, cardiac output, pulmonary vascular resistance and input impedance, and finally coronary blood flow.

In massive PE, the increased right ventricular (RV) afterload leads to increased right ventricular myocardial work and \(O_2\) consumption. The cardiac index falls despite adequate blood pressure, a constant or increasing RV preload and constant contractility. As the systemic pressure ultimately falls and the RV pressure increases, the pressure gradient between the aorta and the RV narrows. Cardiac ischaemia, however, does not entirely explain the deterioration of left ventricular output, which is also likely to be the result of the pericardial constraint in the face of RV dilatation, as well as to a leftward shift of the interventricular septum\(^{[57]}\). A recent study in patients with pulmonary hypertension showed that increased right ventricular afterload due to pulmonary vascular obstruction gives rise to a combination of right ventricular failure and decrease of left ventricular preload\(^{[58]}\). Together with the abnormal geometry of the interventricular septum, this
leads to an overall decrease of the cardiac index. It is likely that this sequence of events is more profound in acute PE, because the right ventricle is not hypertrophied and thus less able to overcome the initial increase in afterload.

In acute PE, particularly in massive PE, hypoxaemia may be due to: (a) ventilation/perfusion mismatching: the V/Q ratio, that is increased in the hypoperfused areas, may be reduced in some relatively over-perfused zones, or in atelectatic areas; (b) shunting within the lung or the heart due to either the opening of pre-existing pulmonary arterial-venous anastomoses, or to a patent foramen ovale; (c) reduced mixed venous oxygen saturation, secondary to a decreased cardiac output; (d) altered diffusion component. In most cases, these various mechanisms probably interact, and their importance also depends on the possible underlying cardiopulmonary pathology.

Emboli without pulmonary infarction is the general rule and true pulmonary infarction the exception. Pulmonary infarction is most likely to occur in patients with pre-existing left ventricular failure or pulmonary disease. Alveolar haemorrhage due to obstruction of peripheral branches of the pulmonary arteries, leaving small ones. In rare cases, however, embolization of the anatomically large emboli pose a greater threat than consequences or no symptoms at all. In general, factors associated with higher mortality are advanced age, cancer, stroke and cardiopulmonary disease.

There is a considerable risk of recurrent PE, especially during the first 4–6 weeks[11,48,65]. This risk is greatly increased in the absence of anticoagulant therapy[66]. Hence, the short-term outcome of patients who survive an initial PE episode is influenced greatly by whether or not therapy is instituted. This action, in turn, is obviously determined by whether or not a timely diagnosis is made[66,67]. The mortality of untreated PE is 25% to 30%[69]. This figure comes from an old study, which probably recruited patients with more severe PE than recent trials and may, therefore, be an over-estimate. Nevertheless, there are no, nor will there be, alternative data, and any PE should be considered potentially fatal due to possible recurrence, whatever the clinical importance of the first episode. With adequate anticoagulant therapy, the incidence of both fatal and non-fatal recurrent PE is reduced to less than 8%[7,66]. This risk is not influenced by the presence of free-floating proximal DVT[65].

Right ventricular afterload stress detected by echocardiography is a major determinant of short-term prognosis when PE is clinically suspected[68,69] and detection of a patent foramen ovale is a significant predictor of ischaemic stroke and morbidity in patients with major PE[70]. The prognostic significance of right-sided mobile thrombi remains uncertain[71–79]. In the largest studies, which were systematic reviews[71,72], or registry studies[68], a fatal outcome was reported in up to 35%–42% of patients with right-sided mobile thrombi. In one study, however, the presence of right heart thrombi did not significantly affect early and total in-hospital mortality[79].

The onset of massive PE may be preceded in the last weeks by a number of smaller PEs, which often escape the attention of the clinician[70]. Multiple PEs and infarcts of different age (recent, organizing, and organized) are found at necropsy in 15%–60% of cases[11,48]. This finding is important, since it means that these patients suffered from successive emboli and that death might have been prevented if an early diagnosis had been made[11].

During the post-acute phase of PE, the prognosis is largely dependent on adequate clot resolution and revascularization of the pulmonary arterial and deep venous systems. This is influenced by a range of factors, such as the presence of congenital thrombophilia, the adequacy of anticoagulant therapy and the presence of permanent risk factors. Even when patients survive their initial episode of PE, the long-term prognosis is largely determined by underlying conditions. Factors associated with higher mortality are advanced age, cancer, stroke and cardiopulmonary disease.

In some patients, investigation of dyspnoea or chronic right heart failure discloses severe pulmonary hypertension due to silent recurrent PE. This entity of chronic thromboembolic disease is distinct from acute PE, and, if untreated, usually fatal in the 2–3 years following initial detection.
Summary
- Untreated VTE has a high risk of (fatal or non-fatal) recurrence.
- Anticoagulant therapy reduces the mortality in patients with PE by 75%.
- The prognosis of treated, non-massive VTE is mainly dependent on co-existing illnesses, such as malignancy or cardiovascular diseases.

Diagnosis
Clinical presentations and clinical evaluation of pulmonary embolism

As previously discussed, PE is a potentially fatal disorder with a range of clinical presentations (from haemodynamic instability to silent). Evaluating the likelihood of PE in an individual patient according to the clinical presentation is of utmost importance in the interpretation of diagnostic test results and selection of an appropriate diagnostic strategy. In 90% of cases, suspicion of PE is raised by clinical symptoms such as dyspnoea, chest pain or syncope, either singly or in combination. In a classic series, dyspnoea, tachypnoea or chest pain were present in 97% of patients with PE without cardiac or pulmonary disease[78]. Similarly, in a recent series in which 25% had a previous cardiac or pulmonary disease, recent onset dyspnoea, chest pain or syncope were present in as many as 97% of patients with PE[79,80]. In 10% of cases, PE is suspected because of incidental radiological findings, either on chest X-ray or helical CT scan, in high risk situations.

Pleuritic chest pain, whether or not combined with dyspnoea, is one of the most frequent presentations of PE (Table 4)[78-80]. This pain is usually due to distal emboli causing pleural irritation, and a consolidation may be present on chest X-ray. This syndrome is often improperly named ‘pulmonary infarction’, although the histopathological correlate is an alveolar haemorrhage, which is only exceptionally associated with haemoptysis.

Isolated dyspnoea of rapid onset is not due to more central PE, not affecting the pleura. It may be associated with substernal angina-like chest pain, probably representing right ventricular ischaemia. The haemodynamic consequences are more prominent than in the ‘pulmonary infarction’ syndrome. Occasionally, the onset of dyspnoea may be more progressive, over several weeks, and the diagnosis of PE is evoked by the absence of other classic causes of progressive dyspnoea. In patients with pre-existing heart failure or pulmonary disease, worsening dyspnoea may be the only symptom indicative of PE.

Finally, syncope or shock is the hallmark of central PE with severe haemodynamic repercussions, and is accompanied by signs of haemodynamic compromise and reduced heart flow, such as systemic arterial hypotension, oliguria, cold limb extremities and/or clinical signs of acute right heart failure.

The presence or absence of risk factors for VTE is essential in the evaluation of the likelihood of PE. Moreover, it should be recognized that the risk of PE increases with the number of risk factors present. However, PE does occur frequently in individuals without any risk factors[13]. Individual clinical signs and symptoms are not very helpful, as they are neither sensitive nor specific (Table 4). Chest X-ray is usually abnormal, and the most frequently encountered findings are plate-like atelectasis, pleural effusion or elevation of a hae-midiaphragm. However, these signs are not very specific and the chest X-ray is mainly useful to exclude other causes of dyspnoea and chest pain. In the PISAPED study[80], amputation of a hilar artery, oligemia and a pleural-based wedge-shaped infiltrate appeared to be closely associated with PE, and were present in 15% to 45% of patients. However, this contradicts the findings from previous series[78] and the chest X-rays in the PISAPED study[80] were interpreted by six pulmonary physicians, all of them experts in the field of PE diagnosis. Hence, the practical value of these signs in other settings remains to be demonstrated. PE is generally associated with hypoxaemia, but up to 20% of patients with PE have a normal arterial oxygen pressure (P_{O_2}). Since most are also hypocapnic, it was hoped that the oxygen alveolo-arterial difference (D_{(A-a)O_2}) would be more sensitive for PE than P_{O_2}, but clinical trials were...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Signs, symptoms and findings in suspected PE (from references[78,80])</th>
<th>PE (n=219)</th>
<th>no PE (n=546)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain (pleuritic)</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain (subternal)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoptysis</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>Tachypnoea (≥20/min)**</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia (&gt;100/min)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs of DVT</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever (&gt;38.5°C)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanosis</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X-ray</td>
<td>Atelectasis or infiltrate</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural-based opacity (infarction)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated diaphragm</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased pulmonary vascularity</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation of hilar artery*</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood gases</td>
<td>Hypoxaemia*</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrocardiogram</td>
<td>Right ventricular overload*</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only observed in series of reference[78].
**Only observed in series of reference[80].
disappointing[79] revealing that 15 to 20% of patients with proven PE also have a normal D(A-a)O2. Finally, ECG signs of right ventricular overload (S1Q3 pattern, inversion of T waves, V1 to V3 leads, right bundle branch block) may be helpful. Nevertheless, such changes are generally associated with the more severe forms of PE, and may be found in right ventricular strain of any cause.

Since the diagnostic value of individual symptoms, signs and common test findings is poor, one could conclude that clinical evaluation is useless in suspected PE. However, a large body of data contradicts this. Indeed, the combination of these variables, either empirically or implicitly by the clinician[83–85] or by a prediction rule[80,86–88], allows a fairly accurate indication of suspected PE patients in three broad so-called clinical or pre-test probability categories. Table 5 shows the predictive value of clinical assessment by various methods. To recognize patients with a high likelihood of the disease, prediction rules appear to be more accurate than empirical evaluation. However, the clinical usefulness of distinguishing between an intermediate and high clinical probability may be limited.

Clinical probability has been used in combination with lung scans to rule out clinically significant PE. A recent analysis of a database of 1034 consecutive patients suspected of PE in the emergency ward showed that the 3-month thromboembolic risk was very low (1.7%, 95% CI 0.4 to 4.9) in 175 suspected PE patients not treated on the grounds of low empirical clinical probability and a non-diagnostic lung scan, provided lower limb venous compression ultrasonography (US) did not show a proximal deep vein thrombosis (DVT)[84]. This combination was found in 21% of patients, who, therefore, did not undergo an angiogram. Similarly, Canadian investigators used a low to moderate score of the clinical probability of PE to avoid angiography in 702 of 1239 (57%) patients with a non-diagnostic scan and normal serial US (see diagnostic strategies), and the 3-month thromboembolic risk was only 0.5% (95% CI 0.1 to 1.3)[86].

As shown in Table 5, the clinician must choose between empiricism and two prediction rules to assess the clinical probability of PE. The obvious advantage of a prediction rule is to allow a standardized and explicit evaluation. The reader should, however, be aware that subjectivity carries a great weight in one of the prediction rules[86]. Indeed, an important element in the score is the decision whether another diagnosis is as, or more likely, than PE in a given patient. Moreover, a prediction rule should fulfill rigorous methodological standards in order to be valid and transferable to clinical practice[89,90]. These standards have been met by one series[88], including external validation and clinical usefulness evaluation, but not by the PISAPED study[80]. Besides, this score is only valid in combination with specific lung scan criteria developed by the PISAPED study[80], which still awaits external validation. Finally, practical experience shows that, when confronted by a discrepancy between empirical evaluation and the probability given by a score, the clinician generally chooses to rely on his or her own experience.

### Summary
- PE has a wide range of clinical presentation.
- A reasonable clinical suspicion is required to avoid missing the diagnosis of PE.
- First line diagnostic tests, such as ECG, chest X-ray and blood-gas analysis are indicated to assess clinical probability of PE and general condition of the patient.
- Clinical evaluation is accurate to discriminate a subgroup of patients with a low likelihood of PE.
- Clinical probability may be estimated empirically or explicitly by a prediction rule.
- Patients with a low clinical probability of PE, no lower limb deep vein thrombosis and a non-diagnostic lung scan have a very low risk of PE.

### Lung scintigraphy

Lung scintigraphy has a pivotal role to play in the diagnostic management of suspected pulmonary embolism. The reasons for this are twofold: it is a non-invasive diagnostic technique and it has been evaluated in extensive clinical trials. It has been proven extremely safe to apply and few allergic reactions have been described.

Lung scintigraphy consists of two components: perfusion and ventilation imaging. Imaging is performed in at least six projections; the most commonly used are anterior, posterior, left lateral, left anterior oblique, right lateral and right anterior oblique. For perfusion imaging, 99m-Tecthenuim (Tc) labelled macro-aggregates of albumin (MAA) are injected intravenously with the patient supine and breathing deeply[92]. The result is that the particles are trapped uniformly in the pulmonary capillary bed, where a fraction of capillaries will be temporarily obstructed[93]. In case of occlusion of pulmonary arterial branches, the capillary bed of the more peripheral vascular bed will not receive particles, rendering the area ‘cold’ on subsequent images. Ventilation imaging may be performed with a variety of agents, including 81m-Krypton, 99m-Tc-diethylene triamine penta-acetic acid (DTPA) aerosols, 133-Xenon and 99m-Tc labelled carbon particles (Technegas)[94].

Classification of lung scintigraphy has been a matter of debate over several years. The first attempts to arrive at a classification stem from McNeil[95] and Biello[96]. More recently, a large trial was performed in North America (PIOPED), which came up with an even more sophisticated classification[97]. Due to the fact that PE were angiographically proven in 16% of patients who were classified as low probability, this classification has received criticism[97]. Subsequently, the PIOPED criteria were revised to try and improve the predictive values of lung scintigraphy[98,99].
The PISAPED trial used a more clinically orientated classification solely using perfusion lung scintigraphy to try and eliminate indeterminate lung scan results\[91\]. Using this classification, one of the principle PIOPED investigators was able to correctly identify 91% of patients with proven PE and exclude 80% of those in whom PE was refuted by angiography. This approach can be extended to the classification of perfusion-ventilation lung scintigraphy, which may be classified into three categories: PE excluded (normal), PE proven (high probability, defined as at least one segmental or greater perfusion defect with locally normal ventilation or chest X-ray findings) and PE neither excluded nor proven (non-diagnostic)\[100-103\].

The results of lung scans should be integrated with the clinical suspicion of the referring physician, as demonstrated in several large studies\[85,91,99\]. Hence, if the lung scan findings contradict clinical suspicion (low clinical probability of PE and high probability lung scan, or high clinical suspicion and normal lung scan), further diagnostic tests may be warranted\[104\]. However, such combinations of findings are rare.

The inter-observer and intra-observer disagreement for lung scan reporting amounts to approximately 10% to 20%, and is independent of the classification used\[104-107\]. It was demonstrated that consistent application of an anatomical lung segment chart significantly improved the consistency of reporting\[107,108\].

Three studies have specifically assessed the validity of a normal perfusion lung scan\[109-111\]. One of these studies was a retrospective analysis of 68 patients\[109\], whereas the remaining two studies were prospective studies in patients with clinically suspected PE and a normal perfusion scan in whom anticoagulants were withheld\[110,111\]. Hence, data on 693 patients exist, which showed one patient with a fatal PE and one patient with a non-fatal thromboembolic event during at least 3 months of follow-up for a total event rate of 0.2% (95% CI: 0.1%-0.4%). Thus, it is regarded as safe practice to withhold anticoagulant therapy in patients with a normal perfusion scan. An exception to this rule may be a patient with a very high clinical probability for the presence of thromboembolism\[101,102\].

Several studies have compared perfusion-ventilation lung scintigraphy with pulmonary angiography\[85,96,100,112-117\]. In a total of 350 patients with at least one segmental perfusion defect and focally normal ventilation, the positive predictive value (PPV) was 88% (95% CI: 84%-91%). This PPV constitutes sufficient proof for the presence of PE to warrant the institution of long-term anticoagulant therapy in most patients. It may be appropriate to perform pulmonary angiography, if the clinical suspicion is low, and the risk of bleeding complications is high (e.g. in the postoperative period)\[101,102\].

In a total of 12 studies, 1529 patients in whom neither a normal nor a high probability lung scan was obtained (no matter what criteria were used) underwent pulmonary angiography\[85,96,100,112-120\]. PE was proven in 385 patients (25%; 95% CI: 24%-28%). Hence, these lung scan abnormalities are insufficient to base any type of treatment decision on (i.e. non-diagnostic result) and further diagnostic tests are required.

The PISAPED study exclusively used perfusion lung scintigraphy and chest radiography and incorporated the clinical suspicion to reach a diagnosis of normal, quasi-normal, PE unlikely, and PE likely\[91\]. Pulmonary angiography yielded a definitive result in 386 of 607 patients with abnormal perfusion lung scans, while a further four patients died prior to angiography and underwent post-mortem examination. PE was shown in 236 patients (lung scan positive in 217, sensitivity 92%, PPV 92%), while PE was excluded in 154 patients (lung scan normal in 134, specificity 87%, NPV 88%). Furthermore, follow-up was performed up to one year in the majority of patients. When taking clinical suspicion, lung scan data and follow-up data into consideration, it is clear that this approach increased the number of patients with a definitive diagnosis, and that pulmonary angiography could be greatly reduced.

### Table 5 Assessment of clinical probability of pulmonary embolism (PE): comparison of various methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Wells et al[86]</th>
<th>Miniati et al[86]</th>
<th>Perrier et al[83]</th>
<th>PIOPED[85]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients included</td>
<td>ER and inpatients</td>
<td>ER and inpatients</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER and inpatients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of PE</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means of assessment of clinical probability</td>
<td>prediction rule‡</td>
<td>prediction rule‡</td>
<td>empirical</td>
<td>empirical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE prevalence in clinical probability subgroups</td>
<td>Low probability: 3% (2–5)</td>
<td>11% (6–16)</td>
<td>8% (6–14)</td>
<td>9% (6–14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate probability: 28% (23–32)</td>
<td>36% (32–40)</td>
<td>30% (26–34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High probability: 78% (70–86)</td>
<td>91% (84–96)</td>
<td>67% (57–76)</td>
<td>68% (57–77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with a low clinical probability of PE</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective validation of prediction rule</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of clinical use assessed</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the validation sample in case of a prediction rule.
†Rule development based on expert consensus and a multivariate analysis.
‡Rule development based on expert consensus only.
*Numbers between parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.

ER=emergency room.
However, this study requires confirmation in a management study.

A final topic of interest refers to patients with pre-existing chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD). In these patients, the lung perfusion may be compromised due to reactive vasoconstriction from airway obstruction. Although lung scan findings appear to be non-diagnostic more often in patients with COPD, there still remains a role for lung scintigraphy in this sub-group[121].

### Summary
- Approximately 25% of patients with suspected PE will have the diagnosis refuted by a normal perfusion lung scan and anticoagulants may be safely withheld.
- Around 25% of patients with suspected PE will have a high probability lung scan and anticoagulant therapy may be instituted.
- The remaining patients will require further diagnostic tests as part of a wider diagnostic strategy.

### Pulmonary angiography

Forssmann was the first to describe right-sided heart catheterization in 1929, which he performed first on himself (sic!) and later in dogs[122]. Selective pulmonary angiography was not performed until 25 years later. Since then, several advances have been made, such as the catheter introduction technique by Seldinger, the development of rapid imaging equipment (film-changers), digital subtraction angiography (DSA), the introduction of the pigtail catheter, the application of safer contrast agents and catheter and guide-wire materials. These improvements have led to pulmonary angiography being a relatively safe procedure. This increased safety is highlighted, when comparing studies, which were published during the period 1960–1980[123–126] with those that were published during this decade[127–132]. This shows a 50% reduction in fatal and a fourfold reduction in non-fatal complications[133]. Currently, the risk of fatal or serious complications is approximately 0.1% and 1.5%, respectively.

Indications to perform pulmonary angiography differ with the availability of non-invasive diagnostic tests, the clinical status of the patient and the necessity to get an absolute diagnosis. It is generally accepted that pulmonary angiography is the method of choice in all patients in whom non-invasive tests are either inconclusive or not available. Angiography may also be indicated in the rare situation of an extremely high bleeding risk (for instance after neurosurgery) and an abnormal, even high probability lung scan. Finally, angiography may be indicated in patients with (relative) contraindications for thrombolytic or heparin therapy.

Contraindications for pulmonary angiography have declined over the years. No absolute contraindications currently exist, although several relative contraindications should be noted[133]. These include: allergy to iodine containing contrast agents, impaired renal function, left bundle branch block, severe congestive heart failure, and severe thrombocytopenia. Severe pulmonary hypertension (mean PAP >40 mmHg) increases the risks of complications, but by reducing amounts of contrast and increasing linear rise this is well within reasonable limits. Several studies have reported on the safety of pulmonary angiography in large patient groups with pre-existing pulmonary hypertension[128,134,135]. Albeit that these contraindications are relative, they are usually part of the decision not to perform pulmonary angiography. However, the general condition of the patient is mostly the deciding factor, as demonstrated in two recent studies where pulmonary angiography could not be performed in 10%–20% of patients who were scheduled for the procedure[127,130].

The technique of pulmonary angiography is well-known. Patients who are entering an angiography suite to undergo pulmonary angiography need to be monitored. Furthermore, oxygen should be freely available. Monitoring can include pulse oxymeter, automated blood pressure and pulse measurement device and ECG. Rhythm disorders are common, but usually self-limited, during passage of the right heart chambers. Anyone performing pulmonary angiography should be capable of recognizing the main rhythm disorders and know how to treat them. A pigtail catheter, varying in size from 5F to 7F, is generally used[136]. Balloon catheters may be helpful to pass a large right atrium/ventricle. Furthermore, they may be able to reduce contrast load in selected patients by using occlusion[129]. Introducer sheaths are not required. Guide-wires are not essential, but atraumatic wires may be used[130,137].

Low-osmolar contrast agents, with a minimum of 300 mg iodine ml⁻¹ should be used for pulmonary angiography. Although the safety of any of the available low-osmolar contrast agent has been shown, non-ionic agents are generally preferred due to better tolerance by the patient, reduced cough reflex and less nausea, which all contribute to better images on the basis of less patient movement[138–140].

Digital subtraction angiography is increasingly replacing fast film exchange systems. The spatial resolution of conventional film remains superior to that of DSA. However, recent studies suggest that the use of cinematic review and work station manipulation are beneficial for the interpretation of pulmonary angiography. These benefits are noticeable in terms of inter-observer variation, adequacy of opacification of smaller branches and diagnostic performance[137,141,142]. Hence, it is warranted that DSA should replace cut film angiography as the method of choice for arteriography of the pulmonary arteries.

It was previously suggested that intravenous digital subtraction angiography could adequately depict or exclude pulmonary emboli[143]. This would have the benefit of peripheral contrast injection. Initially, a
sensitivity of 75%–100% and a specificity of 96%–100% were obtained, but these figures used lung scintigraphy as the reference method\textsuperscript{143}. Later, it was shown that intravenous contrast is diluted, resulting in insufficiently opacified segmental and subsegmental branches, and a suboptimal sensitivity and specificity\textsuperscript{144,145}. Hence, it should be stressed that intra-arterial injection of contrast is a prerequisite for adequate interpretation of pulmonary angiography.

Using the Seldinger technique, both a brachial, jugular and (right) femoral venous approach may be applied. Once the catheter is in the pulmonary trunk, a trial injection of contrast should be administered by hand to check for large central emboli. If present, it is advised that a full X-ray series be obtained with contrast injection in the right ventricle. However, if the trial injection does not reveal central emboli, the catheter may be advanced into the right or left pulmonary artery. A small bolus injection must be given prior to obtaining a full radiographic series to ascertain that the catheter tip is positioned adequately, and is not wedged into a small side branch or in a subintimal position\textsuperscript{133}.

Using an injection into the main pulmonary artery, adequate opacification of all segmental and subsegmental branches is usually obtained. However, in patients with atelectasis or with pain-related splitting of the diaphragm, it may be necessary to perform more selective catheterization\textsuperscript{133}. Contrast injection should be performed using an automated injector system, a rate of 20 ml. s\(^{-1}\) at a pressure of 600 PSI (42 kg. cm\(^{-2}\)). In patients with pulmonary hypertension or with more selective injections, the total amount of contrast is reduced to 10–15 ml. s\(^{-1}\) for 2 s\textsuperscript{133}.

A minimum of two radiographic series is required. The standard projections used are anterior-posterior, and 20° to 40° left and right posterior oblique for the left and right lung, respectively\textsuperscript{146}. However, additional series, such as lateral or magnification views, may be required.

Haemodynamic measurements are an integral part of pulmonary angiography. Nevertheless, some people feel that they may be omitted since echocardiography is presently able to adequately measure pressures non-invasively. If pulmonary hypertension is diagnosed, less contrast at lower pressure may be injected. Alternatively, one could resort to super-selective catheterization of lobar or segmental arteries. These measures will reduce the risks of acute right ventricular overload in patients with pulmonary hypertension\textsuperscript{133–135}.

The diagnostic criteria for acute PE were defined over 30 years ago\textsuperscript{123,147}. Large studies have validated these criteria subsequently. There are direct angiographic signs of PE, which are: complete obstruction of a vessel (preferably with concave border of the contrast column) or a filling defect\textsuperscript{123,127,148}. These criteria have shown the reliability of various studies which assessed intra- and inter-observer variation\textsuperscript{127,137,149}. More recently, it was demonstrated that the same criteria may be applied in DSA\textsuperscript{137,141,142}. However, one should be aware of the fact that the reliability of pulmonary angiography decreases with diminishing calibre of the vessels, i.e. the interpretation is more difficult after the subsegmental level\textsuperscript{149}. Patient selection may also influence the diagnostic accuracy of pulmonary angiography. In 140 patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan who underwent angiography, the kappa values of cut-off angiography ranged between 0.28 and 0.59, which increased to a range of 0.66–0.89 for DSA\textsuperscript{137}. Nevertheless, these values were lower than those obtained in non-selected patient populations\textsuperscript{127,149}, possibly because underlying pulmonary and cardiac diseases had a negative influence on the interpretation of images.

Indirect signs of PE may be slow flow of contrast media, regional hypoperfusion and delayed or diminished pulmonary venous flow. One should be aware that these signs could direct one’s attention to a specific region, but none of these signs have been validated. One should not diagnose PE in the absence of direct angiographic signs.

PEs vary greatly in size, and distribution of emboli may be important for other, less invasive modalities. In one study in 76 patients with proven PE, emboli were located exclusively in subsegmental arterial branches in 23 (30%) patients\textsuperscript{150}. In the PIOPED study, 6% of all patients who underwent pulmonary angiography had their emboli limited to subsegmental vessels, but this percentage increased to 17% of patients with a low probability lung scan result\textsuperscript{165}. Similarly, in a selected group of 140 patients who underwent angiography following a non-diagnostic lung scan, the largest emboli were in subsegmental vessels in three out of 20 patients (15%) in whom PE was proven\textsuperscript{169}.

Pulmonary angiography is generally regarded as the reference method for the diagnosis and (maybe more importantly) the exclusion of PE. This does not mean that pulmonary angiography is infallible. Since angiography is the reference method, the sensitivity and specificity of this technique cannot be formally evaluated. The clinical validity of a normal pulmonary angiogram was assessed in five well-designed studies\textsuperscript{85,112,130,147,151,152}. Anticoagulants were withheld in 840 patients with clinically suspected PE in whom a normal pulmonary angiogram was obtained. All patients were followed-up for a minimum of 3 months. Recurrent VTE was demonstrated in 16 patients (1.9%; 95% CI: 1.4%–3.2%), three of them fatal (0.3%; 95% CI: 0.09%–1.08%). Hence, it is regarded safe clinical practice to withhold anticoagulants in patients with chest symptoms and a normal pulmonary arteriogram.

From these data, it may be concluded that the sensitivity of pulmonary angiography is in the region of 98%. Similar, the specificity is thought to be between 95% and 98%. This figure is slightly lower than the sensitivity due to other illnesses, which may mimic the criteria for PE, such as obstruction of an artery due to tumour.
Summary

- The safety of pulmonary angiography has improved over the past decade.
- Pulmonary angiography is the reference method, but should be reserved for patients in whom non-invasive diagnostic tests remain indeterminate.
- It is safe to withhold anticoagulant therapy in patients with suspected PE and normal angiogram.
- Indirect signs of PE on angiography have not been validated.

Spiral computed tomography (sCT)

In recent years, technical advances in CT have prompted enormous interest in the use of this technique for the diagnosis of PE. Two methods, namely electron beam tomography and helical or spiral CT (sCT) angiography have revolutionized the approach to the evaluation of patients with suspected PE. In sCT, imaging acquisition times and total scan times are significantly reduced compared to conventional CT and the pulmonary vascular tree can be scanned at peak contrast opacification. Therefore, unlike V/Q scanning, modern CT imaging techniques enable the direct visualization of pulmonary emboli within the pulmonary arteries\(^{153,154}\). Because of its increasing availability, this presentation will focus on sCT angiography and discuss technique and image interpretation and its value in the diagnosis of PE.

The design of the optimal imaging technique to the diagnosis of PE varies from institution to institution. Despite this heterogeneity, the general guidelines for imaging of the pulmonary artery can be specified. In most institutions, sCT angiography is performed as a single contrast series through the thorax. About 90% of patients investigated for suspected PE can hold their breath sufficiently long for single breath-hold data acquisition, while shallow breathing is used in the remainder\(^{155}\).

The lung volume scanned should be large enough to include subsegmental vessels to allow for a meticulous analysis. To achieve this, CT scanning should comprise a lung volume between the top of the aortic arch and the dome of the diaphragm. In most institutions, caudocranial scanning direction is preferred. Breathing artifacts are significantly less intensive in the upper compared to the lower portions of the lung, when the patient breathes during the final phase of data acquisition. Alternatively, overcollimation and table feed may be increased. Finally, the administration of pure oxygen prior to the CT scan has been advocated to improve breath-hold time.

Most commonly, imaging is performed with 120 kV, 210–250 mAs, a slice thickness of 3 mm, a table speed of 5 mm·s\(^{-1}\), (pitch 1:7) and a reconstruction index of 2 mm. Narrowing the collimation to 2 mm improves the analysis of subsegmental vessels\(^{156}\). The advantage of increased pitch is that it allows for scanning of larger volumes without loss of resolution\(^{157}\). In obese patients, a slice thickness of 5 mm, a table speed of 5 mm and a reconstruction index of 3 mm should be used to improve signal–noise ratio.

The scan delay, i.e. the time interval between contrast injection and data acquisition depends on the patient’s clinical status. In most patients, a scan delay of 15 s is sufficient to allow for optimal vessel opacification. In patients with a history, signs and symptoms of pulmonary arterial hypertension, right ventricular failure and overall cardiac failure, scan delay may vary between 15 and 30 s and should be determined individually. In the presence of a central venous catheter, a delay of 5 s is suitable.

The administration of contrast material requires the use of a power injector. In most institutions, non-ionic contrast media are preferred. Two basic contrast administration strategies can be specified, each with good results. The low concentration–high flow approach injects 120–150 ml of contrast medium with 120–200 mg iodine·ml\(^{-1}\) with a flow of 4 to 5 ml·s\(^{-1}\)\(^{153,158,159}\). The high concentration–low flow technique uses 100–120 ml of contrast medium with 270–320 mg iodine·ml\(^{-1}\) at a rate of 2–3 ml·s\(^{-1}\)\(^{155,160}\). Streak artifacts, which result from the high concentration of contrast material in the superior vena cava, and which potentially limit the diagnostic accuracy in pulmonary trunk and right pulmonary artery, can be significantly reduced using a low concentration contrast material. Recently, some institutions have adopted a high concentration–high flow approach, where 140–180 ml of contrast medium with 270–300 mg iodine·ml\(^{-1}\) are administered at 4–5 ml·s\(^{-1}\).

Image interpretation is usually performed using both soft tissue (mediastinum) and pulmonary parenchymal windows. The side-by-side analysis of images displayed with the two different window settings may be helpful in differentiating pulmonary arteries which accompany the bronchi from venous structures which, in the early phase of scanning, may be unenhanced\(^{155}\). In addition, cine-mode viewing may provide a dynamic impression of the pulmonary arteries and is generally considered helpful in the analysis of acute PE. Also, the use of two-dimensional multi-planar reformations may aid in the diagnosis of PE\(^{161}\).

Spiral CT angiography enables the direct visualization of PE within the pulmonary arteries as low attenuation filling defects within the vessel, partly or completely surrounded by opacified blood, or as a complete filling defect which leaves the distal vessel totally unopacified\(^{153}\). The value of indirect signs of PE, such as pleural-based densities, linear densities or plate-like atelectases, central or peripheral dilatation of pulmonary arteries, and pleural effusions of variable sizes, is less clear\(^{162}\).

Pitfalls in the interpretation of sCT arteriograms can be attributed to breathing artifacts, which potentially result in a pseudo-hypoattenuating area mimicking a
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sensitivity and specificity spectrum of spiral CT angiography with sensitivity ranging from 53% to 89%, and specificity from 78% to 100%[155,158,165–167]. The reasons for this apparent het-
erogeneity seem to be manifold and include differences in study design, investigator experience with sCT, and anatomic extent of the pulmonary vascular tree studied.

Spiral CT provides excellent results for the detection of emboli located in the main, lobar and segmental pulmonary arteries. In cases where emboli are limited to subsegmental and more peripheral arteries, the sensitiv-

ity of spiral CT seems to be limited[160]. In many patients, however, this disadvantage of sCT is counter-balanced by the fact that multiple emboli showers the lung when a large embolus is fragmented in the heart. In one study, an average of more than six emboli were found within the pulmonary arterial system in patients with proven PE[153]. The prevalence of isolated subsegmental PE ranges from 6% in the PIOPED population to 17% in patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan result[85,150].

Another factor potentially influencing the accuracy of sCT in the diagnosis of PE is the incidence of PE in the cohort of patients investigated. Indeed, in the first publications the incidence of PE was as high as 57%[153,158]. However, a more recent series with an incidence of PE of 23%[160] and 33%[165] showed similarly good results for sCT.

Finally, should sCT only be compared with pulmonary angiography? Pulmonary angiography has an excel-

sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of PE, but is not perfect. A recent animal study compared sCT and pulmonary angiography using an independent gold standard (a cast of the porcine vascular tree)[160]. No significant differences were found between sCT and angiography, although the numbers were small.

Spiral-CT seems to be a cost-effective method. A cost-effectiveness analysis based on current scientific literature showed that the five strategies with the lowest cost per life saved (and the five strategies with the lowest mortality) all included sCT angiography[170]. When cost per life saved was the primary outcome parameter, spiral CT angiography of the pulmonary arteries and D-dimer tests provided the lowest cost for work-up of patients with suspected PE. With mortality as the primary outcome parameter, a combination of sCT angiography and an ultrasound study of the legs was the best strategy.

Spiral-CT still requires prospective management studies, where the safety of withholding anticoagulant therapy in patients with normal sCT findings needs to be demonstrated. One study followed a cohort of 164 patients with clinically suspected PE, intermediate probability at V/Q scanning, and a negative result at spiral-CT angiography[171]. In this study, three out of 164 patients with negative sCT angiography and initially negative results at Duplex ultrasound of the leg veins were found to have clots in the calf veins at short-term follow-up, and were categorized as initially false-negative sCT angiograms. Another three patients experienced recurrent PE during 3 months follow-up (one patient died). Therefore, six out of 112 (5.4%) patients with normal findings at sCT, who did not receive anticoagulant treatment, suffered recurrent events. A second, retrospective study in 260 patients who were followed following normal sCT, in whom anticoagulant therapy was withheld, showed only one recurrent PE[172].

It seems reasonable to assume that sCT deserves a place in the diagnostic algorithm for suspected PE[173]. Based on the availability of sCT, the role will increase. In some institutions, sCT has already been incorporated into clinical routine[174]. Spiral CT is used as a primary screening test for PE or in combination with lung scintigraphy and ultrasonography. This strategy finds better acceptance among clinicians than a strategy involving pulmonary arteriography.

Finally, spiral CT might be useful for monitoring patients undergoing thrombolytic therapy[175,176]. In these patients, CT allows the visualization of embolic material without the need for a central venous puncture, thus reducing the risk of bleeding.

Summary

• Spiral CT is more accurate in the demonstration of central or lobar PE than segmental PE.
• A normal sCT does not rule out isolated subsegmental PE.
• The safety of withholding anticoagulant therapy in patients with normal sCT angiogram needs further confirmation.

Echocardiography

Recent large clinical registries including patients with PE showed that echocardiographic data were available in as many as 47%–74%[3,177]. The non-invasive character and high emergence availability of this test in many clinical
centres underscores the need for its optimal use and interpretation in patients with suspected or confirmed PE.

Echocardiography might be useful for the differential diagnosis of acute dyspnoea, chest pain, cardiovascular collapse and many other clinical situations that require pulmonary embolism to be considered as a potential diagnosis. This is due to the established diagnostic value of this test in myocardial infarction, infective endocarditis, aortic dissection, pericardial tamponade and others. Moreover, echocardiography may suggest or reinforce clinical suspicion of PE if right ventricular (RV) overload and dysfunction is found in the presence of Doppler signs of increased pulmonary arterial pressure. A typical echocardiographic picture of haemodynamically significant PE includes dilated, hypokinetic RV, an increased RV/LV ratio caused by interventricular septal bulging into the LV, dilated proximal pulmonary arteries, increased velocity of the jet of tricuspid regurgitation (usually in the range of 3–3.5 m·s⁻¹), and disturbed flow velocity pattern in the RV outflow tract. Furthermore, the inferior vena cava is usually dilated and does not collapse on inspiration. In 132 patients with suspected PE and without known previous severe cardiopulmonary disease, a combination of right-over-left ventricular diameter ratio greater than 0.5 and Doppler-derived tricuspid regurgitant flow peak velocity greater than 2.5 m·s⁻¹ was found 93% sensitive but only 81% specific for diagnosis of PE. Echocardiography determined an alternative diagnosis in 55 patients.

Recently, RV regional systolic wall motion abnormalities were suggested as a more specific diagnostic sign of acute PE. In contrast to other causes of RV systolic overload and for not totally clear reasons, hypokinesis does not affect the apical segment of RV free wall when it is caused by acute PE. This sign was tested prospectively in 85 patients and found to be 77% sensitive and 94% specific for the diagnosis of acute PE, resolving during successful treatment[179]. According to another report, a severely disturbed RV ejection pattern (acceleration time <60 ms) in the setting of only moderate elevation of pulmonary arterial systolic pressure, as assessed by trans-tricuspid systolic gradient <60 mmHg, was 98% specific although only 48% sensitive for acute PE among 86 patients with various causes of pulmonary hypertension[180]. Further studies are needed to assess the diagnostic value of those new echocardiographic signs.

Presently, echocardiographic and Doppler analysis of right heart dimensions and RV function permits neither definitive confirmation nor exclusion of suspected PE. However, haemodynamically important PE is unlikely in a patient with a normal echocardiogram. Hypokinesis of the RV free wall was described in 90% of patients with perfusion defects exceeding one third of the total lung fields at scintigraphy[181]. The decreased collapsibility index of the inferior vena cava, defined as an inspiratory change in the diameter of less than 40% of its maximum expiratory value, was reported in 82% of 60 patients with clinically important PE. This was also the first echocardiographic sign to improve with treatment, suggesting that right atrial pressure decreased below 8 mmHg[182].

Echocardiographic criteria, which may distinguish acute from subacute PE, have been suggested[183]. The use of predefined indices such as RV free wall thickness >5 mm; tricuspid regurgitant jet velocity >3.7 m·s⁻¹; the occurrence of both a dilated RV cavity with normal interventricular septal motion, or an inspiratory collapse of the inferior vena cava correctly identified 11 of 13 patients (85%) with subacute massive PE[183]. These criteria require further validation in larger trials.

While echocardiographic signs of RV pressure overload only indirectly support the diagnosis of PE, echocardiography may also definitively confirm this diagnosis by visualization of proximal pulmonary arterial thrombi. Due to the shielding effect of the left main bronchus, the continuity of the left pulmonary artery is usually lost during transoesophageal echocardiographic (TEE) examination. For this reason earlier studies reported mostly on right pulmonary arterial thrombi: in a study of 60 patients with confirmed PE and signs of RV overload, 32 thrombi were located in the right and only six in the left pulmonary artery[184]. In a prospective study of 49 patients with unexplained RV overload the distal part of the left pulmonary artery was also evaluated[185]. A direct comparison of the diagnostic power of TEE and sCT was performed. While the sensitivity of sCT was higher (97.5% vs 79%) TEE was at least as specific (100% vs 90% for s-CT) and had the advantage of rapid, bedside performance without requiring radiation or contrast injection[186]. The sensitivity of TEE in patients with suspected PE but without signs of RV overload is unknown and probably low. However, six out of 14 critically ill patients in whom pulmonary arterial thrombi were accidentally found at TEE had no RV overload at transthoracic echocardiography[186]. Bedside TEE may be the first-choice diagnostic test, and may confirm PE, in patients with shock[187] or during cardiopulmonary resuscitation[188]. Unfortunately, it is not known to what extent the learning curve might affect the sensitivity and — more importantly — the specificity of this test when introduced outside experienced centres.

Echocardiography identified a subgroup of patients with suspected PE, who present with right heart thrombi, usually in-transit from systemic veins to pulmonary arteries. There are several controversies regarding the prevalence, prognostic significance and optimal treatment of such floating thrombi. Recently, the ICO-PEPER registry found right heart thrombi in 4% of 1135 consecutive patients with PE[4]. In contrast, in a study in which echocardiography was performed within 24 h from the onset of symptoms their prevalence was as high as 18%[174]. Interestingly, this study failed to find any effect of such thrombi on the outcome, provided medical — usually thrombolytic — treatment was promptly introduced. Other series suggested them as markers of high early mortality[184,189,190]. Some authors favour surgical removal of these thrombi with concomitant pulmonary embolectomy, due to the perceived risk of dislodgement, which can result in massive PE[74].
Detection of deep vein thrombosis

PE and DVT are different clinical manifestations of a common disease entity, namely VTE. Indeed, autopsy studies have established that PE arises from a lower limb DVT in 90% of patients\[^{197}\]. Moreover, when venography is systematically performed in patients with angiographically confirmed PE, a residual DVT is found in 70% of cases\[^{148}\]. Therefore, the search for a residual DVT in suspected PE patients is rational, since the demonstration of a clot in the lower limbs warrants anticoagulant treatment, rendering further (invasive) diagnostic procedures unnecessary.

Impedance plethysmography (IPG) was very popular in North America, because of its simplicity and low costs\[^{198}\]. Its principle rests on the detection of volume changes of the lower extremity before and after inflation of a cuff applied to the thigh. When the cuff is deflated, the rapidity with which the lower limb volume returns to the baseline is used as an index of venous permeability. IPG was deemed to have a high sensitivity and specificity for symptomatic proximal DVT as compared to venography. More recent data, however, have shown a lower sensitivity (approximately 60%), possibly due to an increase in the frequency of non-occlusive thrombi\[^{199}\]. Moreover, a direct comparison of IPG and compression ultrasonography (US) showed the latter to be more sensitive\[^{200}\].

Duplex lower limb real-time B-mode compression ultrasonography allows the direct visualization of the femoral and popliteal veins and their compression by the ultrasound probe. Doppler may be helpful to identify the vein, but is not systematically necessary. B-mode US may show the thrombus as a hyperechogenic signal inside the lumen. However, the demonstration of a non-compressible vein is highly specific for DVT and constitutes the only diagnostic criterion\[^{201-203}\]. The sensitivity and specificity of compression US for diagnosing proximal DVT are very high in symptomatic patients, 95 and 98%, respectively\[^{201}\]. However, less favourable results are found for calf vein and asymptomatic DVT.

The majority of patients with PE have no symptoms or signs of DVT\[^{78,203}\]. Nevertheless, the specificity of US in patients with PE remains high (97%)\[^{82,204}\], as in other categories of asymptomatic patients such as orthopaedic patients screened for venous thromboembolism after hip surgery\[^{205}\]. Several studies have shown that ultrasonography shows a DVT in approximately 30% to 50% of patients with confirmed PE\[^{83,204,206-210}\]. The diagnostic efficacy of US depends on whether it is performed before lung scan, or only in cases of a non-diagnostic lung scan. Indeed, a significant proportion of DVTs in patients with confirmed PE is found in patients with a high probability lung scan, already establishing PE. In the Geneva series, which included emergency ward patients suspected of PE, the diagnostic yield of US was 15% of the entire patient cohort when US was performed before lung scan, vs only 5% if US was done only in the case of a non-diagnostic scan\[^{205}\].
The corresponding figures in another series, which included both outpatients and inpatients were 13% and 2%, respectively. In the most recent series, an initial US also showed a DVT in 5% of the 736 patients with a non-diagnostic scan. Finally, combining US to lung scan and angiography is cost-effective and reduces costs by 5 to 15%, provided US is done before lung scan.

Due to its low sensitivity (30% to 50%) in suspected PE patients, a normal US cannot rule out PE. However, serial US or IPG may allow foregoing angiography in patients with a non-diagnostic scan. The rationale for serial testing is the following: in a suspected PE patient with a non-diagnostic scan and no DVT in the legs, the thromboembolic risk should be very low, therefore anticoagulant treatment might be withheld. However, US and IPG cannot completely rule out DVT, because these tests are not sensitive for distal (calf-vein) DVT. Nevertheless, the embolic risk associated with isolated distal DVT is low, unless the thrombus extends proximally. Therefore, serial testing may allow the detection of a proximal thrombus extension, and, thus, identify the patients in whom anticoagulant treatment would be necessary. Two serial testing protocols have been validated in large outcome studies in suspected PE patients. One strategy used serial IPG in both in- and outpatients, but its application was limited to patients with an adequate cardiorespiratory reserve, and required six IPG examinations over a 14-day period, limiting its clinical usefulness. A more recent strategy did not exclude patients with preexisting cardiac or respiratory disease, and is somewhat less resource intensive.

**Summary**

- Ultrasonography shows a proximal DVT in 50% of patients with proven PE.
- A normal ultrasonography exam of the leg veins does not rule out PE.
- Serial leg testing may replace angiography in patients with non-diagnostic lung scan findings. However, its practical use seems limited.

**D-dimer**

Plasma D-dimer, a degradation product of cross-linked fibrin, has been extensively investigated in recent years. D-dimer, when assayed by a quantitative ELISA or ELISA-derived method has been shown highly sensitive (more than 99%) in acute PE or DVT at a cutoff value of 500 μg.L\(^{-1}\). Hence, a D-dimer level below this value reasonably rules out PE. On the other hand, although D-dimer is very specific for fibrin, the specificity of fibrin for venous thromboembolism is poor. Indeed, fibrin is produced in a wide variety of conditions, such as cancer, inflammation, infection, necrosis. Hence, a D-dimer level above 500 μg.L\(^{-1}\) has a poor positive predictive value for PE, and cannot reliably rule in the disease. Moreover, specificity of D-dimer is even lower in the very elderly (9%) in suspected PE patients older than 80 years, and inpatients experiencing suspected PE during their hospital stay. Hence, D-dimer measurement is unlikely to be useful in such populations.

Table 6 summarizes the performances of various types of D-dimer tests. Traditional latex tests have a low sensitivity and negative predictive value, and should be abandoned. The labour-intensive traditional ELISA tests have been replaced by rapid unitary ELISA-derived assays. Whole blood agglutination tests such as the Simplired have proved disappointing, with a sensitivity of only 85% (95% CI, 83 to 87) in the largest series published to date. Very few tests have been validated in clinical large scale outcome studies. D-dimer allowed ruling out PE in 159 of 444 (36%) consecutive patients suspected of PE in the emergency ward, who did not undergo other tests and were not treated by anticoagulants. None of these patients had a VTE during 3-month follow-up (0%, 95% CI: 0–2.3%).

**Diagnostic strategies**

The prevalence of PE in patients in whom the disease is suspected is low (15% to 35% in recent large series). Pulmonary angiography, the definitive criterion standard is invasive, costly, and sometimes difficult to interpret. Hence, non-invasive diagnostic approaches are warranted, and various combinations of clinical evaluation, plasma D-dimer measurement, lower limb venous compression ultrasonography (US) and lung scan have been evaluated, to reduce the requirement for pulmonary angiography. These strategies were applied either to patients presenting with suspected PE in the emergency ward, during a hospital stay, or both. Moreover, suspected massive PE is a specific clinical situation, in which a different algorithm should be applied. Finally, it should be recognized that the approach to suspected PE may largely vary according to the local availability of tests in specific clinical settings.
Suspected PE in the emergency ward

In patients admitted to the emergency ward for suspected PE, a rapid D-dimer assay by the ELISA method is the logical initial test. In a recent series of 444 patients in which the prevalence of PE was 24%, a D-dimer level <500 μg·L⁻¹ allowed PE to be safely ruled out in 36% of the entire study population[83]. These patients did not receive anticoagulant treatment, and none had a venous thromboembolic event during a 3-month follow-up. As already discussed in the corresponding section, the diagnostic yield of venous US is higher when performed before lung scan. Moreover, US is cheaper and more widely available than lung scintigraphy. Hence, performing US as the second test in the sequence is cost-effective[214]. In the same study[83], US showed a DVT in 17% of the patients with a D-dimer level ≥500 μg·L⁻¹, i.e. 11% of the entire cohort. Patients with clinically suspected PE and a DVT shown by venous US should receive anticoagulant therapy. Whether such patients should undergo a lung scan that would serve as a baseline exam in the event of a suspected PE recurrence is controversial. Indeed, that situation is infrequent (less than 10% of adequately treated patients), and repeated lung scans are frequently difficult to interpret. Therefore, systematic baseline lung scans are unlikely to be cost-effective. The diagnostic work-up should be pursued in patients with a normal venous US and an abnormal D-dimer level, since 50% of patients with proven PE have a normal US result. Lung scintigraphy is diagnostic (either normal or high probability) in approximately 30% to 50% of emergency ward patients with suspected PE[84,86,100,215]. This figure is not significantly influenced by performing D-dimer and US before lung scintigraphy: among the patients with an abnormal D-dimer level and a normal venous US, 16% had a normal/near-normal scan result, and 18% a high probability scan[83]. Hence, combining D-dimer, venous US and lung scan allows a definite diagnosis in approximately 65% of outpatients with suspected PE. The number of patients who require angiography may be further reduced by taking clinical probability into account at this stage of the diagnostic work-up. Indeed, patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan, a normal venous US and a low clinical probability of PE have a very low prevalence of PE. In one trial, PE could be ruled out by this constellation in an additional 24% of patients[83]. Moreover, in a recent analysis of a large database of consecutive outpatients suspected of PE, 21% of the patients with such a combination of findings were left untreated, and the 3-month thromboembolic risk was only 1.7%[84]. Therefore, combining D-dimer, venous US, lung scan and clinical evaluation allowed diagnosing or ruling out PE in 89% of the study patients, reducing the patients requiring angiography to only 11%[83]. Figure 1 summarizes this diagnostic strategy. Any step in the algorithm may be skipped, if the corresponding test is not locally available.

Admittedly, in many centres, lung scintigraphy and/or angiography would be replaced by spiral CT angiography in the diagnostic work-up. However, no large-scale outcome studies assessing that approach are available at present. Most experts would agree that patients in whom sCT shows PE may be treated without further tests. However, the best course of action in the presence of a negative sCT is still controversial. Indeed, in the only series in which patients with a low probability lung scan, a normal venous US, and a normal sCT scan remained untreated, the 3-month thromboembolic risk was unacceptably high (5.4%)[173]. Therefore, more data are needed to assign a definite position for sCT in a rational and evidence-based diagnostic strategy for suspected PE.

Suspected PE in hospitalized patients

Although the incidence of suspected PE in patients staying in the hospital for another medical or surgical problem is lower than in the emergency ward, it remains an important clinical problem. Furthermore, the contribution of some of the non-invasive diagnostic instruments is lower in that patient population. Indeed, due to a high prevalence of conditions other than VTE yielding an elevated D-dimer level (infection, cancer, inflammation, etc.), this test will allow PE to be ruled out in less than 10%[221,222,229]. Similarly, clinical evaluation is less often useful, since the vast majority of patients who have been operated on have at least an intermediate clinical probability of PE. In a study evaluating a combination of tests similar to that detailed in Fig. 1 in hospitalized patients, 36% of the patients required an angiogram, compared to only 11% in emergency ward patients[229]. Clearly, hospitalized patients with suspected PE would greatly benefit from the replacement of angiography by sCT. However, insufficient data are available to forgo
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impaired LV diastolic function contributes to the low cardiac index and systemic hypotension, and thereby further worsens RV ischaemia.

A significant number of the deaths caused by massive PE occur within the hours after the onset of symptoms. Initial supportive treatment could therefore have a major role in patients with PE and circulatory failure. However, most of our knowledge on the effectiveness of this treatment arises from experimental studies with questionable significance as regards clinical practice.

Most experimental studies indicate that fluid loading further deteriorates the haemodynamic status of animals with PE and hypotension[236]. Only two clinical studies have addressed this issue. Ozier et al. reported an increase in cardiac index from 1.7 to 2.01 m \(^{-1}\) m \(^{-2}\) after 600 ml fluid loading in 13 patients with PE, half of them being mechanically ventilated[237]. More recently, Mercat et al. observed an increase in cardiac index from 1.6 to 2.01 m \(^{-1}\) m \(^{-2}\) after a 500 ml dextran 40 infusion in 13 normotensive patients with acute PE and low cardiac index. In the latter study, there was an inverse correlation between the increase in cardiac index and RV end-diastolic volume index[238]. All in all, these results suggest that a 500 ml fluid challenge may result in a significant albeit modest increase in cardiac index in patients with PE, low cardiac index and normal blood pressure. However, available data in humans suggest that the benefit is even slighter in cases of frank RV distension and animal experiments even suggest that this treatment may be harmful when systemic hypotension is present.

Isoproterenol is an inotropic drug, which produces PA vasodilatation, but its use is limited by tachycardia and systemic vasodilatation. In dogs with shock and hypotension produced by PE, isoproterenol did not reverse systemic hypotension[232]. One case report further suggests that isoproterenol may be deleterious in patients with PE and shock[239]. Norepinephrine can reverse hypotension and shock and increase the cardiac output in hypotensive animals and patients with PE[232], whereas this was not seen in animals with PE, normal blood pressure and low cardiac output[239]. No clinical data are available on the effect of this drug in PE patients, and its use should probably be limited to hypotensive patients.

Dobutamine and dopamine both increase cardiac output and decrease pulmonary vascular resistances (PVR) in dogs with experimental PE[241]. In 10 patients with PE, low cardiac index and normal blood pressure, Jardin et al. observed a 35% increase in cardiac index without a significant change in heart rate, systemic arterial pressure and mean PAP[242]. The same group of investigators observed a 57% increase in cardiac output, a 12% increase in heart rate and a 53% increase in PAP in 13 other patients[242]. According to these results, both dobutamine and dopamine may be used in patients with PE, low cardiac index and normal blood pressure.

Epinephrine has not been investigated in experimental PE studies, but a case report suggest a beneficial effect of this drug in patients with PE and shock[243]. Experimental studies suggest a role for vasoconstriction in PE[244] but this phenomenon is probably limited in patients with PE.

Although vasodilators decrease PAP and PVR in animals and to a lesser extent in PE patients, they also decrease systemic arterial pressure limiting their clinical use[244,245]. More recently, inhaled nitric oxide (NO) was shown to selectively decrease PAP and PVR in piglets with experimental pulmonary hypertension induced by microspheres infusion[246]. It has also been shown in a few patients that inhalation of NO may improve the haemodynamic status and gas exchange in patients with PE[247].

Hypoxaemia and hypocapnia are commonly encountered in patients with PE but hypoxaemia is moderate in most patients. Only 80 of 160 patients of the UPET study received oxygen and P \(_{\text{O}_2}\) was below 80 mmHg in 89% of the 130 patients without oxygen[248]. In the same study, only 13% of the patients who did not receive oxygen had P \(_{\text{O}_2}\) above 50 mmHg. Hypoxaemia is usually reversed with nasal oxygen and mechanical ventilation is rarely necessary[249]. When mechanical ventilation is required, care should be given to limit its haemodynamic adverse effects. Positive intrathoracic pressures induced by mechanical ventilation reduce the venous return and worsen RV failure in patients with massive PE. Accordingly, some authors use low tidal volumes (7 ml kg \(^{-1}\)) and advocate fluid loading.

**Summary**

- Dobutamine and dopamine may be used in patients with PE, low cardiac index and normal blood pressure.
- Vasopressive drugs may be used in hypotensive patients with PE.
- Monitored oxygen therapy is beneficial in patients with PE and hypoxaemia.
- The usefulness of fluid challenge is controversial and should not exceed 500 ml.

**Thrombolytic treatment**

The increase in RV afterload observed in patients with massive PE may induce RV failure, systemic hypotension and shock, all associated with a poor outcome[68,250–252]. Thrombolytic therapy has beneficial effects on these parameters. In patients with pulmonary hypertension and low cardiac output due to PE, thrombolytic therapy induces a 30% reduction in mean PAP and a 15% increase in cardiac index 2 h after treatment institution[253]; at 72 h, a 80% increase in cardiac index and a 40% decrease in PAP have been observed[254]. A significant reduction in mean RV end-diastolic area has also been recorded 3 h after treatment[255]. In the same studies, heparin alone did not produce any change in cardiac index, pulmonary artery pressure or echographic findings at 2 or at 72 h after the beginning of therapy.
Thrombolytic treatment also produces a faster reduction in pulmonary vascular obstruction as compared to heparin alone. In the PAIMS 2 study, 100 mg of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) induced a 12% decrease in vascular obstruction at the end of the 2 h infusion time, whereas no change was observed in patients who were receiving heparin. At 24 h, a 35% reduction has been observed after rtPA, whereas the corresponding figure was only 5% with heparin[251]. However, on the 7th day, the decrease in vascular obstruction was similar in both groups[248,253].

Intracranial bleeding occurs in 1% and accounts for 36 to 45% of major hemorrhages[248,258]. Intracranial bleeding occurs in 14% of the patients with PE who are receiving thrombolytic therapy after pulmonary angiography[251,259], which is twice the rate observed in heparin treated patients[257]. Bleeding at the venous puncture site for angiography represents the first source of bleeding and accounts for 36 to 45% of major hemorrhages[248-258]. Intracranial bleeding occurs in 1% of these patients[259]. Data on bleeding complications in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy for PE diagnosed by non-invasive tests are limited, but two studies reported no major bleeding[251,259].

The outcome was assessed in only 431 patients in eight randomized studies, which compared heparin and thrombolysis in patients with PE[248,251,253,254,260-263]. Most patients included in seven of these studies did not have massive PE. In these studies, the mortality in the heparin group varied from 0 to 18% and no survival advantage of thrombolysis over heparin was found. However, none of these studies had sufficient power to detect potentially important differences between the two treatment regimes. The last randomized study included only eight patients with massive PE and cardiogenic shock, four have been allocated to heparin and died as a result of pulmonary embolism, whereas the four who received thrombolysis survived; this difference in outcome was highly significant and the study was interrupted for ethical reasons[263].

In patients with PE and evidence of RV afterload, the use of thrombolytic treatment is independently associated with survival (Odds Ratio: 0.46; 95% CI: 0.21-1.00) but this result arises from an observational uncontrolled study[252]. There is some indirect evidence that thrombolysis may reduce mortality in patients with RV hypokinesis but this was the result of a subgroup analysis on a limited number of patients[251].

Several thrombolytic drugs have been applied in clinical studies[248,253,254,260-273]. Although no difference in mortality was found between the different regimen of rtPA, streptokinase or urokinase evaluated in controlled studies, several differences do exist as regards early haemodynamic efficacy and safety. The currently available data indicates that a 2-h 100 mg infusion of rtPA acts more rapidly than urokinase given as a 4400 IU kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ dose during 12 to 24 h[264,265]. This regimen also produces a faster haemodynamic improvement as compared to a 0.6 mg kg⁻¹ 15 min rtPA infusion or a 1.5 million IU dose of streptokinase delivered over 2 h, although the difference between these three regimens is limited to the first hour[266-268].

The rate of major bleeding cannot be compared between studies using different bleeding assessment scores[258]. Using the same definition, two studies observed major bleeding in 28% of patients receiving urokinase as a 4400 IU kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ dose over 12 h, in 21% to 24% of those treated by the 2 h 100 mg rtPA regimen and in 11% of patients receiving 0.6 mg kg⁻¹ of rtPA given over 15 min[265,266]. However, none of these differences was statistically significant as a result of the limited number of patients included in those studies. Several contraindications exist for thrombolytic therapy. In a patient with massive PE most of these are considered only relative contraindications (Table 7).

Based on the available data, there is a survival benefit from thrombolytic therapy in patients with massive PE, i.e. those with shock and/or hypotension (defined as a systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg or a pressure drop of ≥40 mmHg for >15 min if not caused by new-onset arrhythmia, hypovolaemia or sepsis).

In patients with normal blood pressure and clinical, haemodynamic or echocardiographic evidence of RV failure (submassive PE), the benefit is less clear and has not been established or ruled out in adequate randomized studies. When vascular obstruction is less than 50% and in patients with neither massive nor

Table 7 Contraindication to fibrinolytic therapy in patients with massive PE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute contraindications</th>
<th>Relative contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active internal bleeding</td>
<td>Major surgery, delivery, organ biopsy or puncture of non-compressible vessels within 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent spontaneous intracranial bleeding</td>
<td>Ischaemic stroke within 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial bleeding</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal bleeding within 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious trauma within 15 days</td>
<td>Neurosurgery or ophthalmologic surgery within 1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled severe hypertension (systolic pressure &gt;180 mmHg; diastolic pressure &gt;110 mmHg)</td>
<td>Recent cardiorespiratory resuscitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count &lt;100 000 mm⁻³, prothrombin time less than 50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
submassive PE, mortality is less than 5% in the most recent studies[265,270] and any benefit of thrombolysis in these patients is very unlikely. Finally, the choice of the drug is not a major issue, although the faster haemodynamic effect of the 2 h 100 mg infusion of rtPA may be relevant in the sickest patients, whereas the low incidence of bleeding observed with the 0.6 mg \( \text{kg}^{-1} \) rtPA bolus injection may be of value in patients with relative contraindications.

Unless absolutely contraindicated, thrombolysis should be given to all patients with massive PE. In patients with normal blood pressure, normal tissue perfusion and clinical or echocardiographic evidence of RV dysfunction (i.e. submassive PE), thrombolytic therapy may be given in the absence of contraindications. Thrombolytic therapy should not be given in patients with neither massive nor submassive PE unless they have haemodynamic impairment due to previous cardiac or pulmonary disease.

When to start thrombolytic treatment? Angiographic confirmation is no longer warranted in all patients subjected to thrombolysis. It is time consuming, not without hazards in these patients[265] and is associated with an increase in bleeding complications[225]. A diagnostic perfusion lung scan coinciding with a high clinical probability[91], proximal obstruction on scCT[153] or evidence of acute cor pulmonale coinciding with a high clinical suspicion in patients without major previous cardiac or respiratory disease all allow a sufficient level of proof to start thrombolytic therapy if required.

### Summary

- Thrombolytic therapy is indicated in patients with massive PE, as shown by shock and/or hypotension.
- Most contraindications for thrombolytic therapy in massive PE are relative.
- Thrombolytic therapy should be based on objective diagnostic tests.
- The use of thrombolytic therapy in patients with sub-massive PE (RV hypokinesia) is controversial.
- Thrombolytic therapy is not indicated in patients without right ventricular overload.

### Surgical embolectomy

Although there are no randomized trials demonstrating improved survival with thrombolytic therapy in PE, this treatment is generally believed to be an effective therapeutic strategy, and nowadays surgery is rarely performed. Nevertheless, there remains a limited role for surgical treatment of PE.

In the pre-echocardiography era, diagnosis of massive PE was usually made by angiography, and this examination was considered mandatory before any surgical procedures[272]. The angiography provided the vital information necessary for planning the procedure, such as confirmation of diagnosis, size and location of PE and RV function. Currently this information is available with TEE in most cases with massive PE[185,187,275].

Indications for surgery currently consist of three patient categories[276–279]: patients with acute, massive PE; patients with contraindications to thrombolytic treatment; and patients who lack a response to intensive medical treatment and thrombolysis.

The optimal surgical candidate is a patient with subtotal obstruction of the main pulmonary artery or its major branches, without fixed pulmonary hypertension. The latter usually indicates a chronic thromboembolic obstruction, and emergency surgical treatment cannot relieve the obstructions in distal pulmonary artery branches. Although there is limited evidence to suggest that some patients in shock might survive with thrombolytic therapy alone, the decision regarding operation must be made on a case-by-case basis.

The surgical technique consists of median sternotomy, with rapid cannulation of the ascending aorta and right atrium and institution of normothermic cardiopulmonary bypass[279]. Aortic cross clamping is avoided. The embolectomy is performed via a longitudinal incision in the main pulmonary artery, using common bile duct forceps or malleable non-occlusive grasping instruments[280]. A standard sucker is used to extract the most distal clots.

Normothermic bypass offers several advantages. It provides excellent resuscitation in cardiogenic shock, restoring blood flow and oxygen delivery to the tissues. It also gives the surgeon ample time to perform a complete embolectomy and to explore side branches of the pulmonary artery. Furthermore, cardiopulmonary bypass can function as a circulatory assistance, because a dilated, acutely failing right ventricle will need some pharmacological and mechanical support after completion of the embolectomy. In patients under ongoing resuscitation, cardiopulmonary bypass can be instituted by cannulation of femoral vessels or with percutaneous cardiopulmonary support, thus providing oxygenated blood to vital organs[281]. This technique can also be helpful if the systemic artery pressure falls under the critical value required during induction of anaesthesia.

Some experts feel that pulmonary embolectomy should be combined with vena cava filter insertion[282,283]. With the newer retrievable filters, one may consider removal if venography after 10–14 days reveals no distal thrombi. However, if no DVT is present at the time of surgery and there are no contraindications for anticoagulation, filter insertion is controversial.

The main goal of the surgical procedure is to prevent death from major pulmonary artery obstruction, causing acute right heart failure and preventing blood flow through the lungs. Results of surgical pulmonary embolectomy are poor, because it is usually performed in moribund patients, often following prolonged resuscitation[279,284,285]. Operative mortality for embolectomy ranges from 20–50%. Operative mortality is determined by resuscitation prior to surgery; age; duration of symptoms; and number of episodes of PE. Long-term survival rate is acceptable, with 71% surviving after 8 years.
tension and recent surgery should be considered in
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brite catheter embolometry or catheter dis-
ruption of thrombi offers alternatives for patients who do not require cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Percu-
taneous endoluminal methods are difficult to initiate and their clinical use has been limited.[286-288]

### Anticoagulant therapy

Unfractionated heparin (UFH) treatment in PE is well estab-
lished since the classic clinical trial performed by Barritt and Jordan in 1960.[66] At the recent Vth North
American Consensus Conference on Thrombosis and Antithrombotic agents in April 1998[289], the recom-
mandation was that patients with DVT or PE should be
treated with a dose of heparin sufficient to prolong the activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) to a range corresponding to a plasma heparin level of 0.30–0.60 anti-Xa IU as determined with an amidolytic assays.[269,270,289] Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) can be substituted for UFH in the treatment of stable patients with PE,[296,297], but cannot be recommended for massive PE, as such patients were excluded from the trials on PE treatment by LMWH.

An accurate diagnosis of PE is essential before pre-
scribing prolonged anticoagulant treatment. However, heparin should be administered while awaiting a definitive diagnosis, at least in patients with an intermediate or high clinical probability of PE. Initial therapy with oral anticoagulant alone may be less effective or even dangerous.[299] Thus, heparin (UFH and possibly LMWH) is the cornerstone of the initial
treatment of acute PE. Finally, contraindications to anticoagulant therapy, such as active bleeding, haemostatic disorders, severe uncontrolled hyper-
tension and recent surgery should be considered in

selecting anticoagulant treatment. However, most of these are relative contraindications in patients with proven PE.

The initial therapy consists of intensive anticoagula-
tion with heparin, i.e. an i.v. bolus of UFH (usually
5000 to 10 000 IU) followed by a continuous i.v. in-
fusion. The infusion rate can be adapted to body weight

According to existing nomograms[291] (Table 8), but
should not be lower than 1250 IU·h⁻¹. Higher starting

Infusion rate can be adapted to body weight

according to existing nomograms[291] (Table 8), but
should not be lower than 1250 IU·h⁻¹. Higher starting
infusion rates have been shown to result in faster
achieve
ment of a therapeutic anticoagulant level[292], but
the evidence for a higher rate of recurrence in patients
with a subtherapeutic anticoagulation level is incon-
clusive, provided the infusion rate is higher than

The infusion rate should be adapted to the level of anticoagulation ascertained by the aPTT
(target aPTT:aPTT ratio patient/control 1.0-3.5) [289]. In

In special circumstances, such as resistance of the aPTT

One possibility could be the substitution of a LMWH preparation, since these

These agents have less propensity to bind to plasma proteins.

The existence of an association between prolonged

While bleeding is generally accepted. However, all
the experts have recognized that bleeding during heparin
therapy is infrequent unless some invasive procedure is
performed or the patient has a local lesion or haemosta-
tic abnormalities. The same applies to LMWH.

The studies on the relationship between excessive pro-

longation of aPTT levels and bleeding complications are less conclusive[295,296]. However, it is likely
that a relationship exists.

Monitoring platelet count is important since heparin
induced thrombocytopaenia (HIT) is a rare, but life
threatening side effect. Two forms of HIT have been
described: an early benign form, which is reversible
during treatment, due to a non-immune mechanism. The

**Summary**

- Acute pulmonary thrombectomy has a limited role in massive, life-threatening PE.
- If confirmation of massive central PE prior to surgery can be obtained by echocardiography (preferably TEE) or sCT, angiography is not systematically required. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8 Weight-based nomogram from Raschke et al.[291]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial dose</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT &lt;35 s (&lt;1.2 x mean normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT, 35 to 45 s (1.2 to 1.5 x mean normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT, 46 to 70 s (1.5 to 2.3 x mean normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT, 71 to 90 s (2.3 to 3.0 x mean normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT &gt;90 s (3 x mean normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aPTT – activated partial thromboplastin time.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial dose</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 U·kg⁻¹ bolus, then 18 U·kg⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 U·kg⁻¹ bolus, then increase infusion rate by 4 U·kg⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 U·kg⁻¹ bolus, then increase infusion rate by 2 U·kg⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease infusion rate by 2 U·kg⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop infusion 1 h, then decrease infusion rate by 3 U·kg⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time.**

operative mortality of 20%). There is also a major

improvement in functional status of the operated

patients, with 84% in NYHA I and II after 8 years

Percutaneous catheter embolectomy or catheter dis-
ruption of thrombi offers alternatives for patients who do not require cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Percu-
taneous endoluminal methods are difficult to initiate and their clinical use has been limited.[286-288]
second one is paradoxically associated with venous and arterial thrombotic complications and usually occurs between 5–15 days of treatment. A sudden unexplained decrease in platelet count below 100 × 10^9 L⁻¹ or a pronounced decrease >30% are important warning signals. Discontinuation of heparin results in a progressive increase in platelet count in less than 10 days. Platelet count should be monitored every second day during treatment.

The frequency of HIT is greater with UFH than with LMWH[297]. In the prophylactic treatment of patients with total hip replacement, the frequency of HIT was about 1%. The diagnosis of HIT is difficult because there are no gold standard criteria or routine laboratory tests. The reference test is based on serotonin release. The Fratantoni test is a platelet aggregation test, which requires very well defined standardization. More recently, an ELISA test has been made available, which detects heparin antibodies directed against platelet factor 4-heparin complexes. Although it does not replace the other tests mentioned, it is becoming an important complementary test. The concordance between platelet aggregation tests and the newly developed ELISA test is only approximately 80% for various reasons.

The safety and efficacy of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) for the treatment of non-massive PE was compared with UFH in two recent large, controlled, randomized studies[269,270]. Tinzaparin (single daily s.c. injection) was proven as effective and safe as UFH in 612 patients[269]. In the COLUMBUS study among 1021 patients with proven VTE, 271 had symptomatic PE at presentation[270]. These patients were treated either with reviparin (2 s.c. injections/day) or with conventional UFH. There was no difference between both treatments regarding the VTE recurrence rate, bleeding and mortality.

The use of LMWH may shorten hospital stay and improve quality of life for patients. It is essential to use the dosage which was used in these trials. Thus, tinzaparin is given at a dose of 175 anti-Xa IU kg⁻¹ once daily, while reviparin was used at a dose of 85 anti-Xa IU kg⁻¹ twice a day by subcutaneous route. It is not recommended to extrapolate the dose from one LMWH preparation to another. However, since tinzaparin and reviparin have widely different anti-Xa/anti-IIa activity ratios, it is probably safe to treat PE with other LMWHs, provided their efficacy and safety has been demonstrated in the treatment of DVT, and local regulations allow their use for such treatment. Laboratory monitoring is not required except for a regular platelet count before treatment initiation and on the 5th day, then every 2 to 3 days if heparin treatment is continued. Since renal clearance of LMWH is important, the monitoring of anti-Xa activity in elderly patients and those with chronic renal failure has been advocated, but this remains controversial. Although recent studies have shown that subcutaneous therapy with LMWH in the home environment was efficacious and safe in selected patients with DVT[298–301], ambulatory treatment of PE cannot yet be recommended, pending further clinical trials. Finally, due to lack of evidence, LMWHs cannot be recommended for the treatment of massive PE.

If PE occurs postoperatively, heparin therapy should not be started until 12–24 h after major surgery. Treatment could be delayed even longer if there is any evidence of bleeding from the surgical site. Heparin should be started without a bolus and at a dose moderately lower that the conventional dose. The aPTT should be checked 4 h after initiation of the treatment. A rigorous surveillance of the surgical site and clinical supervision of the patient is required. (Temporary) vena cava filter placement should be considered if patients have a very high risk of bleeding.

Heparin may be administered during pregnancy. It does not cross the placenta and is not dangerous for the fetus. During pregnancy a resistance to heparin is relatively common and an increase in the dosage should be considered. Subcutaneous UFH is usually used after the initial phase of treatment with i.v. infusion. The dose is adjusted according to aPTT or, in some cases, according to anti-Xa[3]. The heparin treatment in pregnant women with PE should be prolonged until at least 6 weeks after delivery. Subcutaneous UFH is given twice a day and monitored by the aPTT, blood being collected 6 h after the subcutaneous injection. LMWHs have been used in pregnant women with DVT but limited information about their use in PE is available[300]. After delivery, a switch to oral anticoagulant is considered even in breast feeding mothers since the oral anticoagulant is not found in the milk, especially when warfarin is used. Long-term heparin treatment is associated with a risk of early osteoporosis.

The rate of recurrent VTE is increased in cancer patients, which may indicate long-term treatment. LMWH may be used at the same dose as in patients with DVT. Although no clear recommendations exist, anti-Xa measurement should be considered. Some authors consider that heparins are more effective than oral anticoagulants in the secondary prevention of VTE in cancer patients. Long-term LMWH therapy has been suggested for patients with metastatic cancer, because oral anticoagulation alone may fail to prevent recurrent VTE[304].

The management of thrombosis in patients with an antiphospholipid (APL) syndrome may be complicated, since the APL syndrome is often associated with circulating anticoagulant, which prolongs aPTT. Anti-Xa measurement seems preferable to aPTT for the laboratory monitoring of these patients since the circulating anticoagulant will not influence anti-Xa measurement with an amidolytic method[305,306].

The use of UFH and LMWH is contraindicated in patients with HIT and starting oral anticoagulants without another immediately active anticoagulant may be hazardous[307,308]. Various treatments have been used in these patients. They include danaparoid sodium (a heparinoid preparation, containing mainly heparan sulphate and dermatan sulphate), r-hirudin and argatroban, a chemical anti-thrombotic agent[309,310]. The use of r-hirudin is restricted to patients with HIT associated
with a new thrombotic episode or an aggravation of PE. Its monitoring requires repeated aPTT measurement. The same applies to danaparoid sodium, which has a long half-life and potential cumulative effect. The dose recommended is 750 anti-Xa IU twice a day, given subcutaneously. An intravenous route can also be used in some patients. Anti-Xa measurement is commonly used for patients monitoring.

The oral anticoagulants in current use in Europe are sodium warfarin, acenocoumarol and fluindione (warfarin being the predominant derivative in clinical use). They are well absorbed in the gut and transported in plasma highly bound to albumin (97%-99%). The drugs are metabolized in the liver and excreted in a hydroxylated form in the urine. The plasma half-life is about 42 h for warfarin, 9 h for acenocoumarol and 31 h for fluindione. This half-life is important, as longer half-life is correlated with less fluctuation in the level of anticoagulation. The oral anticoagulants inhibit the synthesis of four vitamin K dependent coagulant proteins (factors II, VII, IX, X) in the liver and limit the carboxylation of proteins C and S (anticoagulant proteins) which decrease their activity. Patients who require long-term anticoagulant therapy need to be switched from heparin to oral management. It is recommended in most patients to initiate OAT on the first or second day of heparin treatment. The start of coumarin therapy should be with the expected maintenance dose, which is 5 mg daily for warfarin, 3 mg daily for acenocoumarol and 20 mg daily for fluindione. Adjustment of dose depends on INR monitoring. A loading dose of oral anticoagulants is not useful to obtain an INR in the therapeutic range (2-3) more rapidly than maintenance dose. This may even be harmful by inducing a temporary hypercoagulable state due to the shorter half-life of proteins C and S compared to other coagulation factors (II, VII, IX, X). Therefore, concomitant heparin treatment must be continued for 4-5 days until a therapeutic range of INR has been obtained for at least 2 consecutive days.

INR monitoring is usually performed daily until the therapeutic range has been achieved, then twice or three times weekly during the first 2 weeks and then once a week or less often depending on the stability of the INR results. During long-term treatment, frequency of controls can be reduced to intervals as long as every 4 weeks.

The intensity of anticoagulation may be adjusted according to the clinical setting. Evidence from many studies indicates that effective therapy in VTE is obtained with an INR value between 2.0 to 3.0. When INR is between 3.0 and 4.5, the incidence of VTE recurrence was not decreased but bleeding complications occurred four times more frequently.

The duration of anticoagulation is dependent on the type of event and the coexistence of prolonged risk factors. The risk of recurrence of VTE is less in patients with a temporary or reversible risk (e.g. thrombosis secondary to surgery or trauma) than it is in those with continuing risk factor (e.g. malignancy) or with idiopathic VTE. In a controlled trial that addressed duration of treatment, 12 weeks was better than 4 weeks particularly in ‘medical patients’. In another study, patients with a first episode of VTE received anticoagulation during 6 weeks or 6 months. The incidence of recurrence over 2 years of follow-up was higher (18.1%) in the patient group treated over 6 weeks than in the group treated over 6 months (9.5%). Recent trials demonstrated the benefit of prolonged treatment (6 months) with a decrease of recurrence, particularly in patients with idiopathic VTE. For patients with recurrent VTE, a recent trial comparing 6 months of anticoagulation and indefinite duration (22 years) also showed a reduced recurrent rate with prolonged treatment predominantly in patients with idiopathic episodes.

Therefore, the low incidence of recurrence of VTE in patients with temporary risk factors suggest that 3-6 months of treatment might be appropriate, whereas long-term oral anticoagulant therapy for 6 months should be considered for patients without predisposing risk factors after a first episode. An indefinite duration of oral anticoagulant therapy should be considered in patients with VTE associated with active malignant disease or with recurrent episodes. A number of trials are in progress to test these recommendations and yield more information on these situations.

The most common complication of oral anticoagulant therapy is bleeding and the risk is related to the intensity of anticoagulation. There is sufficient evidence that bleeding is more common when INR is above 3.0. Multivariate analysis of cohort studies suggest that bleeding risk is influenced by underlying clinical disorders and age. Bleeding complications tend to occur early after induction of treatment and may unmask a lesion such as renal tumour, gastrointestinal tumour or ulcer, or cerebral aneurysm.

If clinically indicated, oral anticoagulant effect can be corrected either by withholding therapy or by oral or parenteral administration of vitamin K1 (1-2 mg). If the patient has serious bleeding, a rapid reversal of the anticoagulant effect could be obtained with i.v. vitamin K and fresh frozen plasma or prothrombin complex concentrate. The most important non-haemorrhagic side effect of oral anticoagulant therapy is skin necrosis, which may occur during the first week of treatment. This complication has been associated with protein C deficiency, protein S deficiency and malignancy.

During pregnancy, oral anticoagulants cross the placenta and are responsible for abortion and embryopathies during the first trimester. Therefore, oral anticoagulant therapy should be replaced by heparin treatment during the first trimester of pregnancy and also during the last 6 weeks before delivery due to the bleeding risks. Subcutaneous adjusted UFH or LMWH are the long-term treatment of choice in a pregnant woman.
Summary

- Patients with PE should be treated with intravenous, weight-adapted UFH, with an adjusted aPTT between 1.5 to 2.5 control (anti Xa activity 0.3–0.6 IU).
- LMWH may be used in patients with symptomatic non-massive PE.
- Oral anticoagulant treatment should be initiated during the first 3 days with an overlap with heparin treatments for at least 4 to 5 days. Heparins could be discontinued when the INR has been therapeutic (range 2.0 to 3.0) for 2 consecutive days.
- Patients with a first episode of PE should be treated for at least 3 months if they have a reversible risk factor and for at least 6 months if they have idiopathic VTE.
- Oral anticoagulants should be continued for a longer period, possibly indefinitely, in patients with recurrent VTE, or continuing risk factors such as cancer.

Venous filters

 Interruption of the inferior vena cava (IVC) to prevent PE was performed percutaneously routinely in the early 1980s. The percutaneous approach allowed the procedure to be performed easily and the use of filters has extensively increased over the years. A new concept of temporary filters has recently appeared, which was designed to prevent PE in high risk patients during a short period of time. Many devices were developed for the last 15 years, whose principle was to stop emboli and to maintain caval patency. The five most used are: Titanium Greenfield, LGM/Venatech, Simon Nitinol, and Bird’s nest. There is no comparative large study to determine which one of these is the most effective in preventing PE. The Greenfield filter has proved to be safe in the suprarenal position and the low occlusion rate could be an argument for using it in prophylaxis of PE in young patients. If the vena cava is greater than 30 mm diameter, the Bird’s nest filter is indicated. Nevertheless, all these filters are prone to complications: penetration of the wall of the vena cava and caudal migration were noticed with Greenfield filters and LGM/Venatech once. Insertion site complications, such as DVT and haematomas should be reduced with the use of smaller diameter introducers and jugular vein access.

Prevention of PE: recurrent PE and death are not frequent after IVC interruption but the effectiveness of the filters is difficult to determine because follow-up in most series is incomplete and non-systematic and moreover did not always include objective tests for PE. When pooling multiple studies with Greenfield filters, PE was reported in 2.4% (26/1094 patients) and 2.9% (42/1428) with the latest devices. In the only randomized study (PREPIC), 400 patients with DVT (with or without PE) were treated either with anticoagulant (standard heparin vs low molecular weight heparin plus oral anticoagulant) alone or with anticoagulant plus IVC filter. During the first 12 days, the PE rate was 1.1% with filter vs 4.8% with anticoagulant alone (P=0.03). However, during the 2-year follow-up, the difference became non-significant (3.4% vs 6.3% (P=0.16). Although there is no difference in the total mortality at 12 days (2.5% in each group), four of five deaths in the non-filter group were due to PE vs none of five deaths in the filter group.

Inferior vena cava occlusion and DVT recurrences: filter occlusion may be due to its thrombogenic potential or due to the efficacy against clot migration. When patency was evaluated, obstruction was noticed in 5/81 (6.2%) with Greenfield filters. Higher incidence of IVC thrombosis was noticed with new devices 30/272 (11%) and recently a long-term follow-up (6 years) showed that 30% of the LGM/Venatech filters were occluded. In PREPIC, at 2 years, the recurrence of DVT was significantly more frequent with filters (21%) as compared with conventional treatment without any filter (12%). Furthermore, after a 6 year follow-up, 59% of patients had clinical evidence of venous insufficiency.

Anticoagulant treatment with vena cava filters: adjunctive anticoagulant treatment after filter insertion, if not contra-indicated, might be useful to prevent recurrence of DVT, vena cava occlusion and insertion site DVT. There is no randomized study, but in a Greenfield series with contra-indication to anticoagulant, the occlusion rate was 15%[343], whereas in two other series of new filters and anticoagulant treatment this occlusion rate was only 8%/344,345. Thus, long-term anticoagulant treatment, if not contra-indicated, should be recommended with an INR in the range of 2.0 to 3.0.

Indications for IVC interruption: the three major indications for IVC filters were to prevent PE in patients with DVT or PE who either could not be anticoagulated or who suffered from a PE or recurrent VTE despite adequate anticoagulant treatment and finally after surgical pulmonary embolectomy. Because of a relative safety of the procedure, filters are also used for PE prevention in other situations. In case of free floating thrombus, many filters were inserted. In a recent series, the PE recurrence rate with adequate anticoagulant treatment is low, 3.3% as compared to 3.7% in cases of occlusive thrombus, so this indication is no longer warranted. Other prophylactic indications have been suggested: in high risk situations prior to orthopaedic surgery in elderly patients with a history of VTE, in patients with minimal cardiopulmonary reserve and/or pulmonary hypertension, prior to thrombolysis of proximal DVT or massive PE. Filter insertion was also suggested routinely in trauma patients with head or spinal injury. But concerning these prophylactic indications, the risks and benefits still remain to be
determined compared to LMWH prophylaxis. Moreover, in such a situation with a short period of time at risk (i.e. after pelvic fracture or hip surgery) the development of a retrievable vena cava filter should be particularly appealing, but so far there is no ideal device and no adequate study[332,349].

Summary

• IVC filters are indicated to prevent PE in patients with either absolute anticoagulation contra-indications or patients who suffer from recurrent VTE despite adequate anticoagulant treatment.
• IVC filters are probably indicated after surgical embolectomy.
• Retrievable IVC filters require further study to validate their use.

Specific problems

Diagnosis and treatment of PE in pregnancy

PE is an uncommon but important cause of maternal death during pregnancy[350,351]. It poses specific problems about safety of maternal investigations on the fetus, particularly when these investigations involve ionizing radiation. There is also confusion about the effects that any maternal therapy may have on fetal well-being. No recent data are available on the incidence of DVT and PE during pregnancy. In classic venographic studies, the incidence of DVT during pregnancy was approximately 0.5 per 1000[352,353]. The risk of DVT is approximately four times higher in the post-partum period and 20 times higher after a caesarean section. The incidence of PE in pregnancy varies between 1 per 1000 and 1 per 3000 deliveries[354,355]. PE remains the leading cause of pregnancy-related maternal death in developed countries[356,357]. The increased risk of VTE during pregnancy is due to a combination of hormonal, mechan- 

cal and blood composition modifications. A reduction of femoral venous blood flow has been documented in pregnancy[356,357], resulting from the mechanic compression of the iliac veins by the enlarged uterus and decreased venous tone in response to hormonal modifications. The effect is more marked in the veins of the left leg and DVT is more frequent on this side[358]. Finally, coagulation factors II, VII and X increase by the third trimester, whereas the levels of coagulation inhibitor protein S and plasma fibrinolytic activity both decrease[359]. In summary, pregnancy is a hypercoaguable state, and haemostasis returns to normal 2 weeks after delivery.

The clinical features of PE are no different in pregnancy compared to the non-pregnant state. A recent review suggests that 90% of those with pulmonary embolus have dyspnoea and tachypnoea[359]. Hence, the absence of these features excludes PE in most cases. However, pregnant women often present with breath-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Estimated radiation (µGy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chest radiography</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary angiography by femoral route</td>
<td>2210–3740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical CT (with gestational age)</td>
<td>13–300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount of radiation absorbed by the fetus for different diagnostic tests is shown in Table 9. A single maternal chest radiograph represents less than 10 µGy, a trivial quantity compared to the 50 000 µGy considered to be the upper limit with regard to danger of injury for the fetus[360]. The radiation from one perfusion lung scintigram varies between 10 and 350 µGy and the injected dose may be reduced further in pregnancy[361]. Nursing mothers should not breast feed for 15 h after the scan, as significant quantities of 99mTc are secreted in their milk[359]. It is likely that ventilation scans add little diagnostic precision in healthy young women, however even if a ventilation scan is used this only increases the radiation by a further 40–190 µGy[361]. The radiation from pulmonary angiography is significantly higher (2210 to 3740 µGy), although it is still much below the 50 000 µGy limit, and can be further reduced by using the brachial route. Spiral CT also delivers a significant amount of radiation, however, this is directed at the chest. Recent investigations show that, depending on the fetal age, the fetal dose varies between 13 µGy (12 weeks) and 300 µGy (full-term), and scTc is considered safe in this setting[362]. In spite of all these figures being lower than the upper limit, it is better to use as little radiation as possible and use other means.

As in the non-pregnant state, plasma D-dimer measurement should be the initial test in suspected PE. However, as D-dimer plasma concentration rises physiologically throughout pregnancy[363], the proportion of pregnant patients in whom a normal D-dimer level can rule out PE will be lower than in a usual outpatient population. In patients with an abnormal D-dimer level, leg vein imaging should be performed by compression US. The same diagnostic criteria apply to pregnant
patients, and finding a DVT warrants the prescription of anticoagulant treatment. Lung scintigraphy should only be performed in patients with an elevated D-dimer level and a normal US, or if these tests are unavailable. Patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan and a low clinical probability of PE may be discharged without anticoagulant treatment. Finally, most experts agree that the inconvenience of prolonged anticoagulant treatment in a pregnant patient in whom PE is not certain is greater than that of performing pulmonary angiography.

The treatment of PE in pregnancy rests mainly on heparin, either UFH or LWMH, because neither cross the placenta and they are not found in breast milk in any significant amount. UFH is still the standard for lack of data on the use of LMWH for treating VTE in pregnancy. After an initial course of i.v. treatment of 5–10 days, at the dose necessary to prolong the aPTT to 1.5–2.5 times the control value, UFH may be given subcutaneously twice a day, with the same target aPTT. Blood should be sampled 6 h after the subcutaneous injection. The heparin treatment should be given throughout the entire pregnancy. After delivery, anticoagulation by warfarin may replace heparin treatment. Anticoagulant treatment should be administered until 6 weeks post-partum, or up to 3 months after acute PE if that exceeds the 6-week period. This can be administered by oral anticoagulants even in breast feeding mothers. The advantages of LMWH in the treatment of VTE during pregnancy are obvious: no monitoring required, and reduced risk of osteoporosis and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. However, published experience is still limited. The dose of LMWH should be either 200 IU kg⁻¹ once a day or 100 IU kg⁻¹ twice a day.

Vitamin K antagonists cross the placenta and warfarin is associated with a characteristic embryopathy during the first trimester. Its administration in the third trimester can also result in fetal and neonatal haemorrhage and placental abruption. Although warfarin may be associated with central nervous system anomalies in any trimester in pregnancy, that risk is very low. Hence, for women having a PE during the first trimester, some experts recommend the cautious use of warfarin during the second trimester of pregnancy, by analogy to a frequently used regimen in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves, providing the patient be fully informed and consenting.

To manage labour and delivery, numerous schemes are advocated, of which none have been compared in clinical trials. Subcutaneous heparin injections should be discontinued at the onset of regular uterine contractions. Some even advocate that labour be planned, so that heparin may be discontinued 24 h before labour. Whether small doses of intravenous UFH (5000 IU every 12 h) should be given during labour is unclear. Finally, for patients with PE within the last 3 months, peri-partum intravenous heparin treatment may be used, the infusion being discontinued 4 to 6 h prior to the expected time of delivery. The use of epidural analgesia in patients having received their last dose of heparin in the previous 12 to 24 h (either UF or LMW) is debated. Although the risk of an epidural haematoma is probably very low, several authorities argue against it.

There is published information on 36 women treated with thrombolytic agents in pregnancy, massive PE being the indication in about one third. Streptokinase was used most frequently. Streptokinase (and probably other thrombolytic drugs) does not cross the placenta. However in the mother, bleeding is the major side effect, usually from the genital tract and often severe; the overall incidence of bleeding is about 8%. This risk does not seem unreasonable compared to that of massive PE treated by heparin alone. At the time of delivery, thrombolytic treatment should not be used unless it appears that the patient is likely to die and surgical embolectomy is not immediately available. Indications for caval filters in pregnancy are similar to those in the non-pregnant state.

Summary

- An accurate diagnosis is mandatory, as pulmonary embolism requires a prolonged course of heparin in pregnant women.
- All diagnostic modalities, including CT scan and angiography, may be used without a significant risk to the fetus.
- The indication for anticoagulant treatment is the same as in the non-pregnant state.
- Coumarins are formally contraindicated during the first trimester and last 6 weeks of pregnancy.
- Long courses of treatment by unfractionated heparin should be administered subcutaneously.
- Low-molecular heparins are probably safe during pregnancy.
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